

JAMES FREDERICK FERRIER

FAMOUS SCOTS SERIES

The foliating Volumes are non ready-

THOMAS CARLYLE. By HECTOR C. MACPHERSON ALLAN RAMSAY. By GLIPHART SURATOR HUGH MILLER Be W. Kerry Lease JOHN KNOX. By A. TAYLOR INNES ROBERT BURNS. By Garnier Supply THE BALLADISTS. By JOHN GROOM RICHARD CAMERON. By Professor HERKLESS SIR IAMES Y. SIMPSON. By EVE BLANTING STAPSON THOMAS CHALMERS. By Professor W. GARDEN BLADDE TAMES BOSWELL. By W. KRITH LEASE TOBIAS SMOLLETT. By OLIPHART SMEATON FLETCHER OF SALTOUN. By G. W. T. OMOND THE BLACKWOOD GROUP. By Six George-Dongras NORMAN MACLEOD, By JOHN WELLWOOD SIR WALTER SCOTT. By Professor Sapersman KIRKCALDY OF GRANGS. By Louis A. BARRE ROBERT PERGUSSON. By A. B. GROSART JAMES THOMSON. By WILLIAM BAYRE MUNGO PARK, By T. BANKS MACLACHIAN DAVID HUMB. By Professor Car purposes WILLIAM DUNBAR. By OLIPHANT SHEAVON SIR WILLIAM WALLACE. By Professor MURISON. ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON. By MANGAURT MOVES DEACH THOMAS REID. By PROPERSON CAMPBELL FRASHI POLLOK AND AVTOUN. By ROSALINE MASSON ADAM SMITH. By HECTOR C. MACPHERSON ANDREW MELVILLS. By WILLIAM MORRISON JAMES PREDERICK FERRIER. By E. S. HALDANE



JAMES FREDER ICK FERRIER

BY

: E:S





SERIES





The designs and presuments of this volume are by Mr. Joseph Brown, and the printing from the press of Marrison & Gibb Limited, Edinburgh. 1803.

CONTENTS

INTEGROCION									7
		CHA	PER	RТ					
RARLY LIPE									11
		CHA	PTEI	εп					
WANDERJAURE-									
or ms Ltr	TRAKY	Work					٠		27
		CHAI	rriki	: 111					
Particocopity in			te n						
LHITOSOMA ME	PURE I	nentra	LS D	A.F					.,,
		CHA	PER	i IV					
FEERCH WARR	ES ANI	FAITH	roi.	Love	3,1				56
		спа	tern)	0.12					
THE NEW!	ner 'So -Ferr	DEK AS	A Ci	OSOP BUILDS	PONI	HER C	1.33 A	2011	72
		CHA	тк	ıvı					
Ferrier's Syst Works	KM OF	Pinno	eoi-iii.	/11 ·	ıs Pı	HLGS	019110	AL.	88
		CHAI	TER	VII					
Тик Солиации		IARISM-							106

UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIVERSITY PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15213

PAGE



TAMES FREDERICK FERRIER

INTRODUCTION

MR. OLIPHARY SMEATON has select not to write a few words of preface to this little book. If I try, it is only because I am old enough to have had the privilege of knowing some of those who were most cloudy associated with Ferder.

When I sat at the feet of Professor Camobell France in the Metaphysics classroom at Edinburgh in 1895, Ferrier's writings were being much read by us students. The influence of Sir William Hamilton was fast enumbling in the minds of young men who felt rather than saw that much lay beyond it. We were still engrossed with the controversy, waged in books which now, alas I sell for a tenth of their former price, about the Conditioned and the Unequitioned. We still worked at Reid, Hamilton, and Mansel. But the attacks of Mill on the one side, and of Perrier and Dr. Stirling on the other, were slowly but surely withdrawing our interest. Ferrier had pointed out a cath which seemed to lead us in the direction of Germany if we would escape from Mill, and Stirling was moring us in the same sense. It was not merely that Forrier had written books. He had died more than ten years carlier, but his personality was still a living influence. Relices of his words came to us through

Grant and Sellur. Outside the University, men like Blackwood and Makeill made us feel what a moure be had been. Had that was not all for at least some of us. Mrs. Ferrier had removed to Edinburgh -- and I endorsu all that my sister says of her rare quality. She lived in a house in Tophichen Street, which was the resort of those attracted, not only by the momory of her businend. but by her own great gifts. She was no old lady and an invalid. But though she could not move from her chair. coralysis had not dimuted her mental nowers. She was a true daughter of 'Christopher North,' I doubt whether I have seen berrival in quickness, her superior I never saw. She could talk admirably to those sitting near her, and vet follow and join in the conversation of another occurat the end of the room. She could adopt be well to everyone-to the shy and awkward student of eighteen. who like myself was too much in awe of her to do more unhelped than answer, and to the distinguished men of letters who came from every quarter attracted by her reputation for brilliance. The words of no one could be more incisive, the words of no one were habitually more kind than hers. She had known everybody. She forgot nobody. In those days the relation between Literature and the Parliament House, if less close than it had been, was more assured than it is to-day, and distinguished Scottish judges and nelvocates minuted in the afternoon in the drawing-room, where she sat in a great arm-chair, with such men as Sellar and Stevenson and Cerant and Shairp and Tuliocia. But her personality was the supreme bond, Those days are over, and with them has passed away

Those days are over, and with them has passed away much of what stimulated one to read in the Intilutes or the Philosophical Resulus. But for the historian of British philosophy Perrier continues as a prominent

figure. He it was who first did, what Stirling and Green did nonin at a stage later on -make a serious appeal to thoughtful people to follow no longer the shallow risulets down which the teaching of the great German thinkers had trickled to them, but to seek the sources. If as a guide to those sources we do not look on him to-day as adequate, we are not the less under a deep obligation to him for having been the pioneer of later guides. What Ferrier wrote about forty years ago has now become readily accessible, and what has been sot lw going there is in process of rapid and complete assimilation. The opinions which were in 1856 regarded by the authorities of the Pase and United Pashyterian Churches as disqualifying Perrier for the opportunity of influencing the mind of the youth of Edinburgh from the Chair of Logic and Metaphysics in succession to Sir William Hamilton, are regarded by the mesent generation of Presbyterious as the assin reliable bulwark soonst the attacks of unbelievers. If one may judge by the essays in the recent volume called Lax Mandi, the same phenomenon displays itself among the young High Church party in England. The Time Spirit is ford of revenges.

Indicessor for others than the historieus of the movement of Though the backs of Perries remain attentive. There is about them a certain atmosphore in which excepting zero as often and fresh. Their author was no hyporhus. He was a fiving luman being, touched an social terms of the control of the control of the interest me. He specially also the control of the interest me. He special for the control of the control that he was one of controlent. As such it is post that a meanical of him should be placed where it may easily be seen.

CHAPTER I

DADLY LIFE

It may be a truism, but it is none the less a fact, that it is not always he of whom the world hears most who influences most deeply the thought of the age in which he lives. The name of James Prederick Perrier is little heard of beyond the comparatively small circle of philosophic thinkers who reverence his memory and do their best to keep it green; to others it is a name of little importone among a multitude at a time when Scotland had many sons rising up to call her blessed, and not perhaps one of the most notable of these. And yet could we but estimate the value of work accomplished in the higher sphere of thought as we estimate it in the other regions of practical work-an impossibility, of course-we might be disposed to modify our views, and accord our penisos in very different quarters from those in which they are usnally hestowed.

James Ferrier wrote no popular books; he came before the public conspiratively little; he made no effort to reconcide religion with plittenophy on the one hand, or to proported theories starting in their montheology on the other. And still we may claim for him a place—and an honourable place—amongst the other Pamous Scox, for the simular assort that after a long century of ventrious 12 reiteration of tirescone platitudes-platitudes which had lost their original meaning even to the utterers of them, and which had become misleading to those who heard and thought they understood-Ferrier had the courage to strike out new lines for himself, to look abroad for new inspiration, and to hand on these inspirations to those who could work them into a truly national philosophy.

In Scotland, where, in spite of politics, traditions are honoured to a decree unknown to most other countries, family and family associations count for much; and in these James Ferrier was rich. His father was a Writer to the Signet, John Ferrier by name, whose sister was the famous Scottish novelist, Susan Ferrier, authoress of x The Inheritance, Destiny, and Marriage. Susan Ferrier did for high life in Scotland what Galt achieved for the humbler ranks of society, and attained to considerable eminence in the line of fiction which she adopted. Her works are still largely read, have recently been repullished, and in their day were greatly admired by no less an authority than Sir Walter Scott, himself a personal friend of the authoress.1 Ferrier's grandfather, James Ferrier, also a Writer to the Signet, was a man of great energy of character. He acted in a business canacity for many years both to the Duke of Argyle of the time and to various branches of the Clan Campbell; it was, indeed, through the influence of the Duke that he obtained the appointment which he held of Principal Clerk of Session. James Ferrier, like his daughter, was on terms of intimate friendship with Sir Walter Scott, with whom

he likewise was a colleague in office. Scott alludes to 1 In a Life of Susan Foreier, lately published, an account of the family is given which was written by Miss Ferrier, for her members.

the subject of our memoir.

him in his Journal as 'thre's change the range of a character in Min Vernick's Direct, change, is the character in Min Vernick's Direct, the discillant of the mental and the second of the character in the character of the character is dead, at extreme old spc. 1 confess I should not like to live to long. It was a man with semantial passion and strong the mane than's James Pervice's wife, Min Coalte, was remarkable for her learning a large family was been to been, the selection on of whom were James Perlurb's Perrick's Girecton on of whom were James Perlurb's Perrick's Girecton on the character of James Perlurb's Perrick's Girecton on the character of James Perlurb's Perrick's Girecton on the particular temperature of the perfect of the person of the perlurb performance of the performance of the perpendiction of the performance of the perpendiction of the performance of the perturbation of the performance of the perpendiction of the performance of the perpendiction of the perpendictio

wife, Miss Coutts, was remarkable for her beauty; a large family was born to her, the eldest son of whom was James Frederick Ferrier's father. Yeany Ferrier, the subject of this sketch, used frequently to dinc with his ernalfather at his house in Montineside, where Sosan Forrier acted in the causeity of hostess; and it is easy to imagine the bright talk which would take place on these necessions, and the impression which must have been made upon the lad, both then and after he attained to manhood; for Miss Ferrier survived until 1854. In later life, indeed, her wit was said to be somewhat caustic, and size was possibly dicaded by her younger friends and relatives as much as she was respected; but this, to do her justice, was partly owing to infimities. She was at anyrate keenly interested in the fortunes of her neahew, to whom she was in the liabit of albelion as the last of the metaphysicians' scarcely, perhaps, a very because title for one who was somewhat of an iconoclast, and began a new era rather than concluded an old.

and began a new eta rather than concentred an total planes Fernérick Ferrick's mother, Margaret Witson, van a sister of Professor John Witson - the 'Christopher North' of immetal mentory, whose daughter he was afterwark to marry. Margaret Ferrier was a woman of striking personal heauty. Her festures were pedera in their symmetry, as is shown in a lovely miniature, painted by Saundera, a well-known ministers painted or the day, now in the possession of Processes Pertire's ason, her ganoleon. Many of these personal charms democrated to James Ferrier, whose well-set features bore considerables resemblance to his mother's. And his close comtended to the contract of the contract of the contract of the her young main into association with winterer was a besiin literature and set. While yer a boy, we are toold, he at upon Sir Walter's knee; the Errich's Ellepheral that dold him take and recited Borefer ballada; while Lockhurt took the trouble of other pictures, as he only could, to

In surroundings such as these Tames Frederick Ferrier was born on the 16th day of Tune 1808, his birthmlace being Heriot Row, in the new town of Edinburgh-a street which has been made historic to us by the recollections of another child who lived there long years afterwards, and who left the grey city of his birth to die far off in an island in the Pacific. But of Forrier's child-life we know nothing; whether he played at "tig" or 'shinty' with the children in the adjoining gardens. or climbed Arthur's Seat, or tried to scale the 'Cats' Nick' in the Salishury Crass close by: or whether he was a grave boy, 'holding at' his lessons, or reacting other books that interested him, in preference to his play. Ferrier did not dwell on these things or talk much of his youth; or if he did so, his words have been forgotten. What we do know are the harest facts: that his second name was given him in consideration of his father's friendship with Lord Frederick Campbell, Lord Clerk Register of Scotland; that his first name, as is usual in Scotland for an elder son, was his paternal grandfather's; and that he was sent to live with the

15

Rev. Dr. Duncan, the parish minister of Ruthwell, in Damfriesshire, to receive his early education. Dr.

Duncan of Ruthwell was a man of considerable ability and energy of character, though not famous in any special sphere of learning. He is well known, however, in the south of Scotland as the originator of Savings Banks there, and his works on the Seasons hear evidence of an interest in the natural world. At anyrate the time passed in Dumfriesshire would appear to have left pleasant recollections; for when Ferrier in later life alluded to it, it was with every indication of gratitude for the instruction which he received. He kept up his

friendship with the sons of his instructor as were went on, and always expressed himself as deeply attached to

the place where a happy childhood had been passed. Nor was learning apparently neglected, for Ferrier began his Latin studies at Ruthwell and there first learnedan unusual lesson for so young a boy-to delight in the reading of the Lettin noets, and of Virgil and Ovid in particular. After Jeavine Ruthwell, he attended the High School of Edinburgh, the great Grammar School of the metropolis, which was, however, soon to have a rival in another day school set up in the western part of the rapidly growing town; and then he was sent to school at Greenwich, where he was placed under the care of Dr. Burney, a nephew of the famous Fanny Burney, afterwards Madame d'Arbiay. From school. as the manuer of the time was the boy passed to the University of Edinburgh at the see of seventeen,-older really than was customary in his day,-and here he remained for the two sessions 1825-26 and 1826-27. or until he was old enough to matriculate at Oxford. At Edinburgh, Ferrier distinguished himself in the class of Moral Philosophy, and carried off the prize of the year for a norm which was looked upon as giving promise of literary nower afterwards fulfilled. His knowledge of Latin and Greek were considered good (the Standard might not have been very high), but in mathematics he was nowhere. At Oxford he was entered in 1828 as a 'mentleman-commoner' at Mardalen College, the College of his future father-in-law, John Wilson. A contlemancommoner of Magdalen in the earlier half of the century is not suggestive of severe mental exercise.3 and from the very little one can sather from tradition-for contemporaries and friends have naturally passed away-larger Ferrier was no expention to the common rule. That he rode is very clear: the College was an expensive one, and he was probably inclined to be extravagant. Tradition sneaks of his pelting the deer in Magdalon Park with eggs; but as to further distinction in more intellectual lines, record does not tell. In this respect he presents a contrast to his predecessor at Oxford, and friend of later days, Sir William Hamilton, whose monumental Jeanning created him a reputation while still an undergraduate. Sir Roundell Palmer, afterwards Lord Selborne, was a contemporary of Ferrier's at Oxford; Sheriff Comphell Smith was at the bar of the House of Lords acting as Palmer's iunior the day after Ferrier's death, and Sir

The guidann-commonra at Maghan, as develores, paid higher feet and over a dissister contrary at Maghiner they had higher feet and over a dissister from that of the Fellows, or a common room of that own, distinct from that of the Fellows, or the Directive Scholars, and address off nontrus. In Every denic days Maghan College solution to ordinary commoness, and there days Maghan College solution to ordinary of the thirty denics before generate and non-collabor. In many of the thirty denics there were only ten guidenn-commontant plans, as far an indergulation to College was a trained for Roundell told him that he remembered Parvier well at College, he described him as "textices about University work", het as writing down vessen, several which he reposed with considerable grates. O'Golder district, because Colles-Wood of Kaishida, Testhulia, work odd and 1879, and J. P. Shishey of Editington Park, in Warnieckhiery; I work when the collection of the considerable of the collection of the University will, or whether his interest in philosophical parasits were in any way stronged design, the time at

College, we have no means of telling. A later friend, Henry Inglis, wrote of these early days: 'My friendship with Ferrier began about the time he was leaving Oxford, or immediately after he had left it-I should say about 1830 or thereabout. At that University I don't think he did anything more remarkable than contracting a large tailor's bill; which annoyed him for many years !afterwards. At that time he was a wonderfully handsome, intellectual-looking young man, -a tremendous "swell " from ton to toe, and with his hair hanging down !over his shoulders.' Though later on in life this last characteristic was not so marked. Ferrier's photographs show his hair still fairly long and brushed off a finelymodelled square forehead, such as is usually associated with strongly developed intellectual faculties. It is known that Ferrier took his Bachelor's degree

in 1833, and that he had by that time managed to acquire a very tolerable knowledge of the classics and begun to study philosophy, so that his time could not have been entirely idle. For the rest, he probably

¹ Mr. Shirley was Member of Parliament for South Warmickshire, a well-known genealogist, and the author of The Hobie and Gentle Men of England.

2

passed happily through his years at College, as many others have done before and after him, without allowing more weighty cares to dwell upon his mind. Another friend of after days, the late Principal Tulloch, after noting the fact that Oxford had not then developed the philosophic spirit which in recent years has marked her schools and which had not then taken root any more than the High Church movement which preceded it, goes on: 'It may be doubted, incleed, whether Oxford energised any definite intellectual influence on Professor Ferrier. He had imbibed his love for the Latin poets before he went there, and his devotion to Greek philosophy was an after-growth with which he never associated his Marcialen studies. To one who visited the College with him many years afterwards, and to whom he printed out with admiration its noble walks and trees his associations with the place seemed to be mainly those of amusement. There is reason to think that few of those who knew him at Magdalen would have ofterwards recomised him in the laborious student at St. Andrews, who for weeks together would scarcely cross the threshold of his study; and yet to all who knew him wall there was nevertheless a clear connection between the gay gownsman and the hard-working Professor."

In 1832, Ferrier became an advocate at Edinburgh, it does not appare that he had any sections idea of actining at the Bar. This is the period at which we cannot that the passion for metaphysical speculation lattic hold of him—a passion which is unintelligible and in-Ferrier could not charify any in-that direction this was leading bin, as far as practical life was concerned, he probably descend it best to state this himself to a pro-

fession which left much scope to the adopter of it, to strike out lines of his own. What led Ferrier to determine to spend some months of the year 1814 at Heidelberg it would be extremely interesting to know. The friend first onoted writes: 'I cannot tell of the influences under which he devoted himself to metaplayers. My opinion is that there were none, but that he was a philosopher horn. He attacked himself at

once to the fellowship of Sir William Hamilton, to whom he was introduced by a common friend--- I think the late Mr. Laclovic Colombons. I know that he looked on Sir William at that time as his master." Probably the friendship with Hamilton simply arose

from the natural attraction which two sympathetic spirits feel to one another. It is clear that at this time Ferrier's bent was towards metaphysics, and that, as Mr. Inelia says, this bent was born with him and was only beginning to find its natural outlet; therefore it would be very natural to suppose that acquaintance would be sought with one who was at this time in the senith of his nowers, and whose writings in the Edin-Aurya Review were exciting liveliest interest. A casual acquaintanceship between the young man of three-andtwenty and the matured philosopher twenty years his senior soon rinened into a friendship, not perhans common between two men so different in nor. It is perhaps more remarkable considering the differences in opinion on philosophical questions which soon arose

between the two; for it is just as difficult for those whose point of view is fundamentally opposed on speculative questions to carry on an intervance concerning their pursuits which shall be both friendly and unconstrained, as for two political opponents to discuss vital questions of policy without any undeccurrent of self-entraint, when they start from entirely opposite principles. Most likely had the two boom actually contemporaries it might not have been so casty, but as it was, the younger man started with, and preserved, the warment feelings to bis senior; and even in his criticises he expresses hisself in the strongest terms

the way he promper man started with, and preserved, the warment follings to its statistic rank of ever in his excitations he expresses himself in the strongest forms that the state of the state of the state of the state of tartly him to disk, and he must that the consequence, whether they think is unions with himself or the state of the state of the state of the state of the disk from him rank, would readly own that to his instructive disquisitions they were indebted for at least that of all they have of pillosophy. And in the hard of all they have on pillosophy. And in the Millians datal, Feetire says: "Metally and instellerations out of the state of the same out of defines into human life; a twee and a name out of defines into human life; a twee and

appendix to the Institutes, written soon after Sir William's death, Ferrier says: 'Morally and intellectusily. Sir William Hamilton was among the greatest of the creat. A simpler and a grander nature never arnee out of darkness into human life; a truer and a manlier character God never made. For years together scarcely a day passed in which I was not in his comnany for hours, and never on this earth may I expect to live such happy hours again. I have learned more from him than from all other philosophers but together: more both as regards what I assented to and what I dissented from.' It was this open and free discussion of all questions that came before them-discussion in which there must have been much difference of opinion freely expressed on both sides, that made those evenings spent in Manor Place, where the Hamiltons, then a recently married couple, had lately settled, so delightful to young Ferrier. He had individuality and originality enough not to be carried away by the areaments used by no great an amburity and so learned a man as his friend was redoned, and then as later he constantly expressed his regot that powers so prior had been devoted to the service of a philosophic system —that of Red- of which Powher on throughly disapproved. But at the state these he hartly dured to

expect that the labours of a lifetime could be set uside at the lidding of a nam so much his junior, and to my the truth it is doubtful whether Hamilton ever fully ensued his opponent's point of view. Still, Ferrier tells us that from limit to last his whole intercounce with Sir William Hamilton was marked with more pleasure and less nain than ever attended his intenceuse with any human being, and after Hamilton was gone be cherished that memory with affectionate esteem. A touching account is given in Sir William's life of how during that terrible illness which so sadly impaired bis powers and nearly took his life, Ferrier might be seen racing to and fro on the street omnosite his bedroom window during the whole unxious night, watching for indications of his condition, yet movilling to intrude on the attendants, and unable to tear bineed from the

mea concerned.

Perlaips, then, it was this intervounce with kindred spirits (for many seads were in the habit of pathering at the Professor's house) that cancel bearing finally to determine to make philosophy the parasit of his life.

This conditional, it may be with the intervest have the contract of the contract o

spot where his friend was possibly passing through the last arony. Such friendship is homourable to both 22 heat to the utmost of her power. Then Professor Wilson, his nucle, though of a very different character from his own, attracted him by his brightness and wir -a brightness which he says be one hardly brine before bimself, for less communicate to others who had not boson bim. Perhans, us the same friend unuted before suggests, the attraction was partly due to another source. He says: 'How Ferrier got on with Wilson I never could divine; unless it were through the bright eyes of his daughter. Wilson and Ferrier seemed to me as connsite as the poles; the one all poetry, the other all prose. But the youth probably yielded to the mature majesty and conjust of the non. Had they met on count terms I don't think they could have second for ten minutes. As it was they had perious differences

and bromily adjusted." The visits to his nucle's home, and the attractive young lidy whom he there met, must have largely contributed to Ferrier's happiness in these years of mental fermentation. Such times come in peacy men's lives when youth is turning into manhood, and towers are wakening no within that seem as though they would lead us we know not whither. And so it may have been with Ferrier. But he was endowed with considerable calminess and self-command, combined with a confidence in his powers sufficient to carry bin through many difficulties that might otherwise have not the better of him. Wilson's home. Ellersy, near the Lake of Windermere,

at times, which, however, I believe were all ultimately

Y was the costne of a circle of brilliant stars. Ferrier recollected, while still a lad of seventeen years of age, meeting there at one time, in the annuaer of 1825, Scott, Wordsworth, Lockbart, and Canning, a conjunction

difficult to bent. Once more, we are told, and no a mobel consistent to enter info association with the greatest Scottish no consistent of the most info association with the greatest Scottish no collect. It was on that gleoney propage when the assiftening man was conveyed to elaith from London on his votum from his ill-fatted freeign journey. Mr. Petric was also a passeogen, and scarcely durated has fold been to be almost unconscious form of one whose genius he so various chains. "The call was then very near."

Porlanos Ferrieis dangliere tella su that lang their, it is unamer at fisk, the insilipe went to stilt to Bagishi. Lakes, the centre of attention being Ellerny, Mr. Peeries the manuser of 1866, the insilipe went to with the Bagishi Lakes, the centre of attention being Ellerny, Mr. Peeries to be the season of the properties of pretty and rumanes. Our failure and mother towards on the pretty and rumanes. Our failure and mother states of the pretty and rumanes. Our failure and mother states of the season of the season

We can easily imagine the effect which society much as this would have on a yaung name hand. Due trace has that, the friendship with the attractive comin, Meggart, When, therebed into swortding warner, and an empayment was finally formed, which collabated in his married in 1837. Not many of James Frené's telests to his consindaring the long congruence have been preserved; the few that are were written from Century in 1834, the were

This meeting occurred after the Irish tour of Scott, Miss Anne Scott, and Litchburt, when they visited Wilson at Elleray. Canning was staying at Storre, in the neighborshood.

in which he went to Heidelberg; they were addressed to Thirlstane House, near Selkirk, where Miss Wilson was residing, and they give a lively account of his adventures The vowice from Leith to Rottenlam, judging from the first letter written from Heidelberg, and dated August 1834, would appear to have began in iranspicious fashion. Ferrier writes: 'I have just been here a week, and would have answered your letter sooner. had it not been that I wished to make myself tolerable well acquainted with the surrounding scenery before writing to you, and really the heat has been so once. whelming that I have been inmelled to take matters leisurely, and have not even yet been able to get through so much sien-hunting as I should have wished. What I have seen I will endeavour to describe to you. This place itself is most delightful, and the country about it is magnificent. But this, as a neviewer would say, by may of anticipation. Have putience, and in the meantime let me take events in their natural order, and begin by telling you I sailed from Leith on the morning of the second of this month, with no wind at all. We drifted on, I know not how, and toward evening were within gunshot of Inchkeith; on the following morning we were in sight of the Bass, and in sight of the same we continued during the whole day. For the next troor three days we went lessing up against a head wind, which forced us to tack so much that whenever we made one mile we travelled ten, a pleasant mode of progressing is it not? However, I lead the whole ship to myself, and plenty of founds society in the person of the captain's lady, who, being found of pleasure, had chosen to diversify her monotonous existence at Leith by taking a delightful summer trip to Rotterdam, which

confined her to her crib during almost the whole of our passage under the pressure of racking bendaches and rearour sickness. She had a weary time of it, poor woman, and nothing could do her any good-neither spelding, cheese, nor finnan haddies, nor bacon, nor broth, nor salt boof, nor ale, nor gin, nor brandy and water, nor Epsons salts, though of one or other of those she was nye takin' a woo bit, or a little drop. We were nearly a week in clearing our own Firth, and did no good till we

got as far as Semborough. At this place I had serious intentions of getting ashore if possible, and making out the rest of my journey by means that were more to be depended on. Just in the nick of time, however, a fair wind sprang up, and from Scarbonough we laid a capital run, with little or no interruption, to the end of our voyage.' An account of a ten days' voyage which makes us thankful to be in great measure independent of the winds at sea! Holland, our traveller thinks an intolerable country to live in, and the first impressions of the Rhine are distinctly unfavourable. 'The river himself is a line fellow, certainly, but the country through which he flows is stale, flat, though I believe, not unprofitable. The banks on either side are covered either with reeds or with a matting of mak shrubbery formed apparently out of dirty green worsted, and the continuance of it so palls upon the senses that the mind

at last becomes unconscious of everything except the constant flap-flapping of the weary paddles as they go beating on, awakening the dull eclases of the acdgy abores. The eye is occasionally relieved by patches of naked sand, and now and then a stone about the size of your list, diversifies the monotony of the scene. Occasionally, in the distance, are to be seen funny,

forlors looking objects, trying evidently to look like t but whether they would really turn out to be treat a neuter inspection is what I very much doubt." Colomic he had an annusing meeting with an Ionman, whom I at once twissed to be an Oxford's and more, even, an Oxford tutor. There is a stiff to in the right shoulder of the tribe, answering to a six one in the hin hone on the same side, which there is mistaking." The totor appears to have done voltage vice in making known the traveller's trant, in French waiters, etc., though 'be spent rather too nanch of high in scheming how to abridge the suspence which, "time of mind," has been the perquisite of Boots, dominer etc. But, he adds in excuse, his name was Bull, therefore, as the authentic epitome of his country, he would not fail to mossess this along with the of prealimities of Englishmen.' From Cologue, Ferrier w to Bonn, where he had an introduction to 15. Webdi. then proceeded up the Rhine to Mayeure. The does form a very high estimate of the legacy of the sagar He feels 's want of something; in to I, to tay mi there is a want of everything which makes earth, un and water something more than more water, word, a earth. We have here a constant and endless variety imposing objects (imposing is just the word tor the but there is no variety in them, nothing but one ron backed hill after another, generally carrying their won when they have any very stiffly, and when they have no presenting to the eye a surface of towary and squapatchwork, thus suggesting in his view, a series children's gardent - an impression often left on traveil when visiting this same country. This next letters is him settled in the University town of Heidelberg.

CHAPTER II

WANDERJAHRE-SOCIAL LIFE IN SCOTLAND-

In the present century in Germany we have seen a period of almost unparalleled literary elory succeeded by a time of great commercial prosperity and national enthusiasm. But when Ferrier visited that country in 1834 the era of its intellectual greatness had hardly passed away; some, at least, of its stars remained, and others had very recently ceased to be. Goethe had died just two years before, but Heine lived till many years afterwards; amongst the philosophers, though Kant and Fichte, of course, were long since gone, Schelling was still at work at Munich, and Hogel lived at Berlin till November of 1831, when he was cut off during an epidemic of cholers. Most of the great men had disappeared, and yet the memory of their achievements still survived, and the impetus they gave to thought could not have been lost. The traditional lines of speculation consistently carried out since Reformation days had survived war and national calamity, and it remained to be seen whether the greater tests of prosperity and success would be as triumphantly undergone.

We can imagine Ferrier's feelings when this new world opened up before him, a Scottish youth, to whom it was a new, untroduce country. It may be true that it was his literary rather than his speculative affinities that first antencied his to Genmany. To form in literature I utbays attended the greatest which, and I as the real at the passage which, and to the real is the passage which are the real pullbacopie, Genman newly was to him that it was to many of the youth of the country from which it causes the appearance of the whorty from which it causes the appearance of the whorty form which it is caused to the compact of the passage which is and taken for the country of the passage which is and taken for the country of the passage which is also that the format passage was more at the last. French hearing judicial countries may be great the middle mounted to be the heart of greatest passage which is a passage of the passage which is the

he found a nation which had not as yet resigned it interest in things of transcendental import in favour c what pertained to mere material welfare.

Such was the Germany into which Ferrier come is 1834. He did not, so far as we can hear, enter deeptinto its social life; he visited it as a traveller, rather than as a student, and his stay in it was brief. Considering the shortness of his time then, and the circumstances of his visit, the impression that it made upon him is all the more remarkable, for it was an impression that laster and was evident throughout all his after life. Since his day, indeed, it would be difficult to say how many young Scotsmen have been impressed in a similar way by a few months' residence at a University town in Germany. For partly owing to Ferrier's own efforts, and perhaps even more owing to the 'boom' to use a vulsarism-brought about by Carlyle's writings, and by his first making known the marvels of German literature to the ordinary English-speaking public, who had never learned the language or tried to understand its recent

history, the old traditional literary alliance between

Scotland and France appeared for the time being to have broken down in favour of a similar association with its rivel country, Germany. The work of Goethe was at last appreciated, nothing was now too favourable to say about its merits; philosophy was suddenly discovered to have its home in Germany, and there alone; our insularity in keeping to our antiquated methods-devoctor. we were told, as the old ones of the schools, and perhaps as edifying-was vigorously denounced. Theology, which had hitherto found complete support from the philosophic system which acted as her handonaid, and was only tolerated as such, was naturally affected in like manner by the change; and to her credit he it said, that instead of with averted eyes looking chewhere, as might easily have been flore, she determined to face the worst and wisely asked the question whether in her department too she had not something she could learn from a sister crumtry across the sea. Hence a great change was brought about in the mental attitude of Scotland; but

Fortier, after barving Toleidelbug, pield a short riskt in Cheijing, and then for a few reacts too by julk alude at Teclin. Prom Leiping he writes to Mais Wilson again. The comparison of the comparison of the comparison of the and latent, the calculating point of Cemunican, where wagares justed pisloscopis, and tolescontune of the comparison of the comparison of the comparison of the control and the comparison of the com

we anticirate.

every quarter of the world. It very much resembles, Ladar's Sade in the Assembly Resource (what I every naonly the lades here are benjumely. Jeans with ther beants, and have always a pipe in their months where, in cating or drinking. As you walk always you will find if order of the day to be samewhat as follows. Von fit, come to pipes, him stawly, then ruilly, then pipes, pin

issues, and tree usings. A spir was do a long-rount when it cauling or drinking. As you walk had by you will find it did order of the day to be amounted as follows. Voor fit comes to pipes, these shareh, then ranks, then pipes, pipe again, pipes, piong-rhead, dolls, then pipes, piles, somang (most, pipes, chana, writing, death, spipes, pipes, poles, wasming (most, pipes, chana, writing, death pipes again, pipes, pipes,

and anothe away in city at a time. Private familia might take it in as we do got!

Forther appears to have speat a work as Erankie before reaching the identificant to triple; I for shorther before reaching the identificant to relate a before reaching the identificant to see subling want before the identificant to be a subling want before the identificant to be a subling want began in a clump diligency. I cached I being to make the power of the identificant to the interest to be a subling worthy of mention, and accordingly sometime work by the interest power by both or of the windows to both. How the work is the earth, and were growing with their beyong make in the earth, and were growing with their beyong make the power power of the interest, they, or

code, on insentice, our air of a time pointed them bear in the curth, and were growing with their jees; unquanjost as they do with us; and as to it the natives, they, or the contanty, also chert of them falled a. I showe per, called a skell, full of curth, part their heads in it, and user growing downwards, just are treasure amind these in or country; and our coming to mark recollection in the morning in a Central infligence you find premed our country by the same showey, idistrict, glaced, sciences and gummy complement of faces which might have accompanied you into Carlisle on an autumn morning after a night of travel in His Majesty's mail coach.

Berlin impressed Ferrier by its imposing public buildings and general aspect of prosperity. It had, of course, long before reached a position of importance under the great Frederick's government, though not the importance or the size that it afterwards attained. Still, it was the centre of attraction for all classes throughout Prussia. and possessed a cultivated society in which the middleclass element was to all appearances predominant. Perrier writes of the town: Of the inside of the buildings and what is to be seen there I have nothing yet to say, but their external aspect is most magnificent. Palaces, churches, mosque-like structures, spires and domes and towers all standing together, but with large staces and fine onen drives between, so that all are seen to the greatest possible advantage, conspire to form a most glorious city. At this moment a fountain which I can see from my window is playing in the middle of the square. A jet d'ann indeed!! It may do very well for a Frenchman to call it that, but we must call it a perfect volcano of water. A huge column goes hissing up as high as a steenle, with the speed and force of a rocket, and comes down in thunder, and little rainbows are flitting about in the showery spray. It being Sunday, every thing and person is gaver than usual. Bands are playing and soldiers are parading all through the town; everything, indeed, is military, and yet little is foppish-a statement which to English ears will sound

like a direct contradiction.'

Our traveller had been given letters to certain Berlin
Professors from young Blackic, afterwards Professor of

Greds in Gladaugh University, who has just translated to Genche's Neuri data be Beglids brages. "I worst allows that as home ago to cell space a sort of Trode-sow ham; a but and the contract to the contract

"I could not get a letter to Lord Corchesura's German sister (Countess Pengstell), as it uses as the is in han hothly, and not fit to esteration supplicately. Full Countess a very final cone from my friend, Mes. Evaluire, to the ambisonatives at Munich, and if you stort you then you my sind it by pest, as it will be welcome: at my time

whether or not be availed biouself of the offer, history does not record. It runs as follows:

It was, as has been said, only about there years provinsely to this with that Heppel had prassed any at Bodin, and one winders whether Ferrier first. Hegats to storce himself in his writings at this tind, mul-whether be winded the grawyard near the city pate where Hegat Bes, close to his goal preferences Peiste. One made almost think this has was not more than cate description given his short Programby of Fends: and it is similar and given his short Programby of Fends: and it is similar and

that on his return he brought with him a medallion and a photograph of the great philosopher. This would seem to indicate that his thoughts were already tending in the direction of Hogolian metaphysics, but how far this was so we cannot tell. Certainly the knowledge of the German hunguage acquired by Ferrier during this visit to the country proved most valuable to him, and enabled him to study its philosophy at a time when translations were penetically non-existent, and few had learned to read it. That knowledge must indeed have been tolerably complete, for in 18c1, when Sir Edward Belwer (afterwards Lord Lytton) was about to republish his translation of Schiller's Ballads, he corresponded with Ferrier recording the accuracy and exactness of his work. He afterwards in the preface to the volume, acknowledges the great services Ferrier had rendered; and in dedicating the book to him, speaks of the debt of gratitude he owes to noe whose critical judgment and skill in detecting the finer shades of meaning in the original had been so useful. Ferrier likewise has the credit, accorded him by De-Onincey, of having corrected several errors in all the Renefish translations of Faurt then extant-errors which were not merely literary inaccuracies, but which also detracted from the vital sense of the original. As to Lord Letton. Ferrier must at this time have been interested in his writings; for in a letter to Miss Wilson. he advises her to read Bulwer's Filgrims of the Rhine if she wishes for a description of the scenery, and speaks of the high esteem with which he was regarded by the Germans.

It was in 1837 that Ferrier married the young kely with whom he had so long corresponded. The marriage was in all respects a happy one. Mrs. Ferrier's gifts and

graces, inherited from her father, will not soon begotten, either in St. Andrews where she lived so tone in Edinburch, the later home of her widorshood. If whose spirits were less gay might have found a high whose interests were so completely in his work a that a work in which she could not share difficult deal with: but she presented understanding to annex; that work, as well as humour, and could accommod herself to the circumstances in which she found herse while he, on his part, entered into the exicty on occus s with the best. A friend and student of the St. Andre days writes of Ferrier; "The manifed his consin Manual Professor's Wilson's daughter, and I don't doubt the shorthand report of their courtship would have be better worth reading than nine handred and ninety r out of every thousand countships, for she had wit at a as beauty, and he was capable of approximing be No more charming woman have I ever seen or he making game of mankind in general, and in particular pedants and hypocrites. She would even laugh at Instand on occasion, but it was struggeous for a volunteer to try to help her in that sport. A fir

booking couple I have never seven.¹

¹ Another sites varied William Edmond-home Agrom,

1 another sites varied William Edmond-home Agrom,

20, it was engaging Vederica Agrom's proposed for a

William's land that the Schwing steep is bold. When
expected was below found, when somethat demondexpected was below found, when somethat demondexpected with the sites of the sites of the sites of the sites of

1 missing, Proceedings of the sites of the sites of the sites of

1 missing, Proceedings of the sites of the sites of

1 missing with the site of the sites of the sites of

1 missing with the site of the sites of

1 missing with the site of the sites of

1 missing with the site of the sites of

1 missing with the site of the sites of

1 missing with the site of the sites of

1 missing with the site of

1 missing with the site of

1 missing with the site of

1 missing with the sites of

2 missing wit

but idealistic filteness, that Fernier remarked, 'I should call t the purnit of beauty under difficulties.'

35 During her infancy Edinburgh had become Mrs. Ferrier's home, though she made frequent visits to Westmoriand, of whose dialect she had a complete command. The courtship, however, had been for the most part carried on at the picturesque old house of Corton, where 'Christopher North' was temporarily residing, and which, situated as it is overlooking the lovely sten made immortal by the name of Hawthornden, in view of Roslin Chanel, and surrounded by old-fashioned walks

and sanless, must have been an ideal snot for a remartic couple like the Ferriers to roun in. Another friend writes of Wilson's later home at Elleray; 'In his hospitable house, where the wits of Blackwood authored at intervels and visited individually in season and out of season, his daughter saw strange men of genius, such as few young ladies had the fortune to see, and beard talk such as hardly another has the fortune to bear. Luckburt, with his caricutures and his incisive sarcasm, was an intimate of the house. The Ettrick Shepherd, with his plaid and homely Doric, broke in occasionally, as did also De Quincey, generally towards midnight, when he used to sit pouring forth his finely-balanced, graceful sentences far on among the small hours of the morning. There were students, too, year after year, many of them not undistinguished, and some of whom had, we doubt not, ideas of their own regarding the flashing hazel cross of their eloquent Professor's eldest daughter. But her consin was bur choice, though wealth offered no attent-

tion, and neither side had reason to repret the marriage of affection At the time of his marriage Ferrier had been practising at the Bar, probably with no great measure of success,

seeing that his heart was not really set upon his work,

It was at this period that he hast began to write, and histic contribution to illustrature book the form of certal pages contribution to illustrate the form of certal pages contributed to illustrate for illustration, the subject being the "Phikouphy of Correctoraters," From the time convents berrier continued to varies on philosophil or literary topics until his death, and many of they writings very fixt published in the famours many of the property of the p

Hefore entering, however, our may consideration a fearier's writings and of the philosophy of the day, might be worth while to try to picture to conserve the social conditions and feelings of the time, in order the we saw get some idea of the unities eet which someonade him, and be no-sixed in our effects to understand his outlook.

8 In the beginning of the nineteenth century Scot land had been ground down by a strange tyrange, the tymany of one man as it seemed, which man was Hour Dundos, first Viscount Melville, who for many lone year reled our country as few countries have been rulebefore. What this despotism meant it is difficult for up a century later, to figure to ourselves. All others were dependent on his patronage; it was to him that everyonhad to look for whatever post, advancement, or cor cusion was remired. And Dundes, with consumnat power and administrative ability, mondeled Scotland to his will, and by his own acts made her what the wa before the world. But all the while, though municipalized a new spirit was really dawning; the principles of the Revolution, in spite of everything, had spread, and id unobserved the time spirit mode its influence felt licht a surface of apparent calm. It hid hold for t of all of the common people-weavers and the like; it rouses these rough, uneducated men to a sense of wrone and the

resolution to suck a remedy. Not much, however, was accomplished. Some futile risings took place-risings nitiable in their inadequacy of hardworking weavers armed with piles and antiquated muskets. Of course, such rebels were easily suppressed; the leaders were sentenced to execution or transportation, as the case might be; but though peace apparently was restored and

public meetings to oppose the Government went risorously suppressed, trade and manufactures were arising: Scotland was not really dead, as she appeared. A new life was dawning; reform was in the air, and in the time made its presence felt. But the memory of these times of political oppossion, when the franchise was the priviless of the few, and of the few who were entirely out of sympathy with the most part of their countymen, or their country's wants, remained with the neople just as did the "Killing-time" of Covenanting days two centuries before, Time beals the wounds of a country as of an individual. but the operation is slow, and it is doubtful whether either period of history will ever be fungation. At anyrate, if they are so as this century closes, they were not in the Scotland known to Pervier; they were still a very present memory and one whose influence was keenly

And along with this political struggle yet another struggle was taking place, no less real though not so evident. The religion of the country had been as dead as was the politics in the century that was gone --- dead in the sleep of Moderatism and indifferentism. But it, too. had awakened; the evangelical achool arose, liberty of church government was claimed, a liberty which, when denied it, rent the Established Church in twain, In our country it has been characteristic that great

movements have usually begun with those most in touch with its immost life, the so-called lower orders of its a citizens. The nobles and the kines have rather followed than taken the lead. In the awakening of the present contrav this at anyrate was the case. 'Society,' so called, remained conservative in its view for lune after the people had determined to advance. Scott, it must be remembered, was a retrogressive influence. The romanticism of his novels lent a charm to days gone by which might or might not be deserved; but they also encountged their readers to imagine a revival of those days of chivalry as a possibility even now, when men were crying for their rights, when they had awakened to a sense of their possessions, and would take nothing in their place. The real chieftains were no more; they were imitation chieftains only who were playing at the same, and it was a same the clausmen would not join in. Few exercises could be more strange than first to read the account of Scottish life in one of the immortal novels by Scott dealing with last century, and then to turn to Miss Perries or Galt, depicting a period not so very different. Setting aside all questions of genius, where comparison would be absurd, it would seem as if a beautiful councel had been removed, and a bare reality revealed, somewhat sordid in comparison. The life was not really sordid, realism as usual had overshot its mark,---but the enamel had been somewhat thickly laid, and might require to be

removed, if troth were to be revealed.

So in the higher gender of Kilmburgh society the enamel of gendility has done its lest to prejudice usaginat much true and genuine worth. It was characterised by a certain conventional unconventionality, a certain 'preciouly' which brought it near descript a still

stronger name, and it maintained its right to formulate the canons of criticism for the hingdom. Reliabuppi, its must be recollected, use no 'mean city', no ordinary provincial town. It was still externed a meteropial. It all the mistocracy, though mainly of the order of those unable to bear the quarter express of London life. It had no namificatories to speak of, no mercunitie class to 'valgative' it is glossessed a University, and the law

courts of the nation. But above all it had a Brenary society. In the beginning of the century it had such mens's as Henry Muckennis, Dugald Securat, John Llydnis, Dr. Grepory, Dr. Thomase Briwn, not to speak of Scott and Jeffrey—a society untivalled out of Lundon. And in Merdays, when these were gone, others rose to fill their places. Of course, in addition to the movement of the workin-

people, there was an educated protest against Toryism, and it was made by a party who, to their credit be it said, risked their prospects of advancement for the principles of freedom. In their days Toryism, we must recollect, meant something very different from what it might be supposed to signify in our own. It meant an attitude of obstruction as regards all change from estallished standards of whatever kind; it signified a point of view which said that grievances should be unredressed unless it was in its interest to redress them. The new " party of opposition included in its numbers Whig lawyers like Gibson Craig and Henry Erskine, in earlier days, and Francis Jeffrey and Lord Cockburn later on; a party of progress was also formed within the Church, and the same within the precincts of the University. The movement as became a movement on the political side kanaly headed by lawyers, had no tendency to violence; it was

moderate in its policy, and by no means revolutionary-

FAMOUS SCOTS indeed it may be doubted whether there ever was nurcla tendency to revolt even amongst those working men who corressed themselves most strongly. The advance partyhowever, carried the day, and when Ferrier began to write-Scotland was in a very different state from that of twenty years before. The Reform Bill had passed, and men had

the moulding of their country's destiny practically placed within their bands. In the University, again, Sir Williams Hamilton, a Whig had just been appointed to the Chair of Logic, while Monerciff, Chalmens, and the rest, were prominent in the Church. The traditions of literary Rdinburgh at the beginning of the century had been kept up by a circle assessed whom Lockhart, Wilson, and De-Quincey may be mentioned; now Cartyle, who had heft Edinburgh not long before, was coming into notice, and

a new era seemed to be dawning, not so glorious as the past, but more untransmelled and more free. How philosophy was affected by the change, and how Ferrier assisted in its progress, it is our business now to tell; but we must first briefly sketch the history of Scottish speculation to this date, in order to show the

position in which he found it

CHAPPED III

BILLOGOBILA BESODE ASSESSOR AND

In attenuating to give some idea of ubilesophy as it was in Scotland in the carlier portion of the present century. we shall have to go back two hundred years or thereabout, in order to find a satisfactory basis from which to start. For obilosophy, as no one realised more than Ferrier, is no arbitrary succession of systems following one mon another as their pronounders might decrees it is a development in the truest and highest significance of that word. It means the gradual working out of the questions which reason sets to be answered; and though it seems us if we had sometimes to turn our faces backwards, and to revert to systems of bygone days, we always find, when we look more closely, that in our omrard course we have merely dropped some thread in our web, the recovery of which is requisite in order that it may be duly taken up and woven with the rest, At the time of which we write the so-called Scottish

School 'of Keds, Stewart, and Benthe reigned apprecia in outbodics Scotland; it had multispated power in the Universities, and besides this obtained a very reputable place in the estimation of Karupe, and more especially of France. As it was this school more especially that Jersice spent much of his time in combating, it is its bistory and place that we wish shortly to describe. To do so, however, it is needful to go back to its refounder, Locke, in order that its point of view may fairl be set forth.

In applying his mind to the views of Locke, the ordinary man finds himself arriving at very commonplan and well-accustomed conceptions. Lucke, indeed, mareasonably be said to represent the ideas of common everyday life. The ordinary man does not question the reality of things, he accepts it without asking non questions, and leaves his theories scientific or otherwise -uson this implied reality. Locke worked out the theory which had been propounded by Lord Bayon. that knowledge is obtained by the observation of facts which are implicitly accepted as realities; and what, it was asked, could be more self-evident and same? It is easy to conocive a number of perceiving minds upon the one hand, ready to take up perceptions of an outside material substance upon the other. The mind may bu considered as a nieve of white paper a hibute rand, as it was called—on which external things may make what impression they will, and knowledge is apparently explained at once. But though Lucke certainly succooled in making these terms the common coin of ordinary life, difficulties excu up when we come to examine them more closely. After all, it is evident, the only knowledge our mind can have is a knowledge of its own ideas -- ideas which are, of course, caused by something which is outside, or at least, at Lacke would say, by its quality. Now, from this it would suppose that these ideas after all come between the mind and the 'thing,' whatever it is, that causes them that is to say, we can perhaps maintain that we only know our 'ideas,' and not things as in themselves. Locke

passes into elahomate distinctions between primary qualities of things, of which he holds exact representations are given, and secondary qualities, which are not in the same position; but the whole difficulty we meet with its summed up in the question whether we really knows substance, or whether it is that we can only home

to know ideas, and 'suppose' some substratum of reality ontside. Then another difficulty is that we can hardly really know our action. How can we know that the self exists and if like Malehanelie, we speak of God revealing substance to us, how do we know about God? We cannot form any 'general' impressions, have any 'general' knowledge; only a sort of conglomeration of unrelated or detached bits of knowledge can possibly come home to us. The fact is, that modern philosophy starts with two separate and self-existent substances; that it does not see how they can be combined, and that the 'white-paper' theory is so abstract that we can never arrive at self-consciousness by its means. Berkeley followed out the logical consequences of Looke, though perhans he hardly knew where these would carry him. He acknowledged that we know

Norbesty followed out the baged consequences of Locks, though perhaps he hardly lines where these would corry him. He acknowledged that we know solding had idean-enothing consides of our mind. But he sides the casesquien of self, and by sanderpy the consolidation of the consequence of self, and by sanderpy the consolidation of the consequence of the consequence of the sanderpy which we have been self-supported by the sanderpy sande

the mind, or ideas of some object, is to him the same,

If we heap to haspine and a conceptions as these of miscondity or according of the all the most heap and including an according of the all the most heap and complete of mescariow slow, we may be a complete of mescariow slow, which we have a considered the according to the contraction which may be a considered to the contraction of which are other comparison. We have meeting of and cause, each product of the contraction of the contraction of and cause, each product of the contraction of the contraction of and cause and the contraction of the according to the contraction of the

and experience alone is suggested as the means of onlying the difficulty in which we are placed a point in the argument which left an opportunity equal to Kant to anguest a new development, to ad whether threey being considerable and the state of the contract of the considerable and the contract of the concept of the contract of

couldn't usery act one so very different. Philosophy, as far as the orthodox mind of Socialand was compared, as far as the orthodox mind of Socialand was considered, and that was held sarced in a creating of minds of the social properties of the

a remedy. Men could not rest in a state of permanent scepticism, in a world utterly incapable of being mitonally explained. Even the propounder of the

theories allowed this to be true; and as for others, they felt that they were rational beings, and this signified that there was system in the world.

A champion arose when things were at their worst in

that there was system in the world.

A champion arose when things were at their worst in Thomas Reid, the founder, or at least the chiefest ownsens, of the so-called Scottish School of Fhilosophy. He is was who set himself to add the principle of the coherence of the Universe, and the consequent possibility of establishing Faith once more in the world. Reid, to begin with, instead of booling at Hume's results.

as scrinars, regarded them as necessarily absurd. He started a new theory of his own, the theory of Immediates Perception, which signified that we are able immediately to apprehend—not ideas only, but the Truth. And how, we may ask, can this be done?

to approximation to the front states only, but the Truth. And how, we may ask, can this be done?

It had been pointed out first of all that sensations as understood by Locke—that is, the relations so called by Locke—might be separated from sensation in itself; in fact, that these first pertained to mind. Hence

by Lockes—might he separated from semantien in isself, in fact, that these first pertained to mind. I flence we have a deallistic system given us to start with, and the question is how the two sides are to be connected? What does this theory of Tomediate Perception, which Park the Contrad as the subture, mean? Is it just be the properties of the contrad as the subture, mean? Is it just be the properties of two auditosets, or it is sometiment of the properties of two auditosets, or it is some thing more?

As to this last, perhaps the real answer would be that it both is, and is not. That is, the philosophy of Reid would seem still dualistic in its nature; it certainly implies the mechanical contact of two confronting substances whose independence is vigorously maintained, in opposition to the idealistic system which it impersoled; but in reference to Reid we must recollect that his theory of Immediate Perception was also something to the expert and the property of the expert and the long property of the expert and the expert and premunent subject, 1/2 Secretions "support the approximation, that with long property of the expert and premunent subject, 1/2 Secretions "support the proend of a mind and the belief in its extreme. And the significant that we have the prover of making information—how we do not except from a first prohow we do not exceptly know, I are believe; in the sec-

-how we do not exactly know, but we believe it to be. not by any succial renoming process, but by the 'common-sense' imutely horn within us. Commonsense is responsible for a good deal more - for the conceptions of existence and of cause, for instance; for Reid acknowledges that sensations alone must fail to account for ideas such as those of extension, space, and motion. This standpoint scenes indeed as if it did not differ widely from the Kantian, but at the same time Rold appears to think that it is not an essential that feelings should be perceptively referred to an external object; the first part of the process of perception is carried on without our consciousness—the mental acception merely follows-and sensation simply supposes a sentient being and a certain manner in which that being is affected, which leaves us much where we were, as far as the subjectivity of our ideas is concerned. He does not hold that all sensation is a percept involving extension and much else involving, indeed, existence. Following muon Reid, Durald Stewart obtained a

Following mpon Reid, Dogald Stewart obtained a very considerable reputation, and he was living and writing at the time Ferrier was a young man. His main idea would, however, seem to have been to guard

his atterances carefully, and enter upon no keen discustions or contentions: when a bold assertion is made, it is always under shelter of some good authority. But his rounded phrases gained him considerable admiration, as such writing often does. He carriedperhaps inadvertently -- Reid's views further than be would probably have held as justifiable. He says we are not, properly speaking, conscious of self or the existence of self, but merely of a sensation or some other quality, which, by a subsequent suggestion of the

understanding, leads to a belief in that which exercises the quality. This is the doctrine of Reid put very crudely, and in a manner calculated to bring us back to unrelated sensation in carnest. Stewart adopted a new expression for Reid's 'common-sense,' de the "fundamental laws of belief," which might be less ambiguous, but never took popular hold as did the first. There were many others belonging to this school besides Reid and Stewart, whom it would be impossible to speak of here. The Scottish Philosophy had its

work to do, and no doubt understood that work-the first essential in a criticism; it endeavoured to vindicate perception as against sensational idealism, and it only partially succooded in its task. But we must be careful not to forget that it opened up the way for a more comprehensive and satisfactory point of view. It was with Kant that the distinction arose between sensation and the forms necessary to its percention, the form of space and time, and so on. As to this part of the theory of knowledge, Reid and his school were not clear; they only made an effort to express the fact that something was required to verify our knowledge, but they were for from satisfactorily attaining to their goal. The vary mane of 'common-same' was miletaling--making people imagine, as it did, that there was nothing in philosophyinagine, as it did, that there was nothing in philosophyapplying the smallest modicum of reflection to the applying the smallest modicum of reflection to the subject. Philosophy this causes to be considered as superfront, and it was thought that the sooner wo got our barra. The better for all connection in matterials of our barra. The better for all connection in the same pro-

What, then, was the work which Ferrier placed before himself when he commenced to write upon and teach philosophy? He was thoroughly and entirely clissatisfied with the old point of view, the point of view of the 'common-sense' school of metaphysicians. to begin with. Sometimes it seems as though we could not judge a system altogether from best exponent of it, although theoretically we always bound to turn to him. In a national philosophy. at least, we want screething that will wear, that will bear to be put in ordinary language, something which can be understood of the people, which can be assimilated with the popular religion and politics-in fact. which can really be freef as well as thought; and it is only after many years of use that we can really tell whether these conditions have been fulfilled. For this reason we are in some measure justified in taking the popular estimate of a system, and in considering its practical results as well as the value of its theory.

Now, the commonly accepted view of the eighteenthciatury philosophers in Scotland is that there is nothing very wooderful about the subject—like the Bourgeois Gestilibouses of Moliber, we are shown that we have been philosophising all our lives, only we nover know it. 'Common sense'—an attribute with which we all believe we are in some small measure undowed—explains over-plains (if we simply exactse it, and that is open to us all: there has been much talk, it would seem, about nothing; seeves hidden to wise men are revealed to belies, and we have het to keep our minds onen in order to roccive them.

We are all acquainted with this talk in specialtive We are all acquainted with this talk in specialtive regions of knowledge, but we most of us the harve have distantous it is not yrue advancement in such disease tions. What happens now it just what happened in the eighteenth century. Men relayes in no aedistantical indicates of install in religion they are constent with believing in a next of guerral drivine. Bendéence with believing in a next of guerral drivine. Bendéence with will sometiow make matters strightly, lowever crowder they now seem to be; and in pilosophy they are

guided by their instincts, which teach them that what they wish to holicoe is true.

Now, all this is what Ferrier and the modern move-

more hamper in deuts curve and the modern moves of the contract of them and diffusion was legislar, if study in all contract of them and diffusion was legislar, if study in all contract of the contract of t

y But the orthodox acction adopted other lines; assented all the ordinarily received ideas of Gorimmortality, and the like, but it maintained the exist ence of an Absolute which can only be inferred be not presented to the mind, and, strangest of all, dichired that the 'last and highest consecration of a true religion must be an altar "To the unknown and unknowable God." This so called 'pious' plets sonly declares that "To think that God is as we can

think Him to be, is blasultenry," and "A God under stood would be no God at all." The German philosoph saw that if once we are to renounce our reason, or true to it only within a certain sphere, all hope for us i last, as far as withstanding the attack of outside enemie is concerned. We are liable to scentical attacks from every side, and all we can assistain against them is a

personal conviction which is not proof. How, then was the difficulty met?

Kant, as we have said, made an important develop ment upon the position of Hume. Hume had arrived at the point of declaring the particular mind and matter equally inconnectent to afford an ultimate explanation or things, and he suggested experience in their place. This is the first note of the new philosophy; experience, nor a process of the interaction of two separate things, mind on the one hand, matter on the other, but samething comprehending both. This, however, was scarcely realisod either by Hume or Kant, though the latter came very near the formulation of it. Kant saw, at least, that things could not produce knowledge, and he therefore chanced his front and augmented starting with the knowlodge that was before regarded as result - a change in

³ Philamphy of the Unconditioned (Six William Hamilton), p. 15-

point of view that caused a revolution in thought similar to that caused in our ideas of the natural world by the introduction of the system of Copernicus. Still. while following out his Copemican theory, Kant did not go far enough. His methods were still somewhat psychological in nature. He still regarded thought as something which can be separated from the thinker; he still maintained the existence of things in themselves independent and outside of thought. He gives us a

'theory' of knowledge, when what we want to reach is knowledge itself, and not a subjective concention of it.

Here it is that the Absolute Idealism comes in-the Idealism most associated with the name of Herel. Herel takes experience, knowledge, or thought, in another and much more comprehensive fashion than did his predecessors. Knowledge, in fact, is all-comprehending it embraces both sides in itself, and explains them as 'moments,' i.e. complementary factors in the one Reality. To make this clearer: we have been all along taking knowledge as a dualistic process, as having two sides involved in it, a subject and an object. Now, Herei says our mistake is this; we cannot make a separation of such a kind except by a process of abstraction; the one really implies the other, and could not possibly exist without it. We may in our ordinary pursuits do so, without doubt; we may concentrate our attention on one side or the other, as the case may be; we may look at the world as if it could be explained by mechanical

menns, as, indeed, to a certain point it can. But, Hegel says, these explanations are not sufficient; they can ensily be shown to be untrue, when driven far enough; the world is something larger; it has the ideal side as

well as the real, and, as we are placed, they are bod necessarily there, and must both be recognised, if we are

to attain to true conceptions.

Without giving that Ferrier wholly assimilated the modern German view, for of comise he did not a bawas clearly langely influenced by it, more largely perlang than he was even himself aware. It porticularly met the present difficulties with which he was confronted. The negative attitude was felt to be impossible, and the other the Belief which then, as now, was so strongly advocated. the Belief which meant a more or less blind accentance of a spiritual power beyond our own, the Belief in the God we cannot know and glory in not being able so to know, he felt to be an equal impressibility. Ferrier and many others, asked the question, Are these alternatives exhaustive? Can we not have a rational explanation of the world and of numerices? can we not, that is, attain to freedom? The new point of view scenard in some measure to meet the difficulty, and therefore it was looked to with hope and anticipation even although its bearing was not at first entirely comprehended. Ferrier was one of those who perceived the momentum comequencus which such a change of front would cause and he set himself to work it out as hest he could. In an interesting paper which he write; on 'The Philosophy of Common-Sense,' with special reference to Sir William Hamilton's edition of the works of Dr. Reid, we see in

what way his opinions had developed. The point which Ferrier made the real crux of the whole question of philosophy was the distinction which exists between the ordinary psychological doctrine of perception and the metaphysical. The former drew a distinction between the perceiving mind and matter, and

based its reasonings on the assumed modification of our minds brought about by matter regarded as self-existent, i.e. existent in itself and without regard to any perceiving mind. Now, Ferrier points out that this system of 'representationalism,' of representative ideas, necessarily lends to scenticism; for who can tell us more, than that we have certain ideas-that is, how can it be known that the real matter supposed to cause them has any part at all in the process? Scepticism, as we saw before, has the way opened up for it, and it doubts the existence of matter, seeing that it has been given no reasonable grounds for belief in it, while Idealism boldly denies its instrumentality and existence. What then, he asks, of Dr. Reid and his School of Common-Sense? Reid cannot say that matter is known in consciousness, but what he does say is that something innately born within us forces us to believe in its existence. But then, as Ferner pertinently points out, scepticism and idealism do not merely doubt and deny the existence of a self-existent matter as an object of consciousness, but also because it is no object of belief. And what has Reid to show for his beliefs? Nothing but his word. We must all. Ferrier says, be scentics or idealists; we are all forced on to dony that matter in any form exists, for it is only self-existent matter that we recognise as psychologists. Stewart tries to reinstate it by an appeal to 'direct observation,' an appeal which, Ferrier truly says, is manifestly abourd : reasoning is useless, and we must, it would appear, allow any efforts we might make towards

rectifying our position to be recognized as futile.

But now, Ferrier says, the metaphysical solution of
the problem comes in. We are in an inspasse, it would
appear; the analysis of the given fact is found impossible.

But the failure of usychology overs no the way to metaphysic. "The turning-round of thought from psychology to metaphysic is the true interpretation of the Platonic conversion of the soul from ignorance to knowledge, from more opinion to certainty and satisfaction; in other words from a discipline in which the thinking is only apparent, to a discipline in which the thinking is read? "The difference is as great between "the science of the buman mind" and metablysic, as it is between the Ptolemaic and the Concenican astronomy, and it is very much of the same kind.' It is not that metaphysic proposes to do more than psychology; it aims at nothing but what it can fully overtake, and does not propose to carry a man farther than his tether extends, or the surroundings in which he finds himself. Metaphysic in the hands of all true astronomers of thought, from Plato to

Herel, if it accomplishes more, attempts less, Metanhysic, Perrier says, demands the whole given fact, and that fact is summed up in this: "We apprehend the perception of an object," and nothing short of this suffices-that is, not the perception of matter, but our apprehension of that perception, or what we before called knowledge, ultimate knowledge in its widest sense. And this given fact is unlike the more perception of matter. for it is empable of analysis and is not simply subjective and egoistic. Psychology recognizes perception on the one hand (subjective), and matter on the other foldective), but metaphysic says the distinction ought to be dearn between 'our apprehension' and 'the perceptionof-matter, the latter being one fact and indivisible, and on no account to be taken as two separate facts or thoughts. The whole point is, that by no possible means can the perception-of-matter be divided into two facts or

existences, as was done by psychology. And Ferrier goes on to point out that this is not a subjective ideal. ism, it is not a condition of the human soul alone, but it

'dwells apart, a mighty and independent system, a city fitted up and uplield by the living God," And in authenticating this last belief Perrier calls in internal convictions, 'common-sense,' to assist the evidence of speculative reason, where, had he followed more upon the lines of the great German Idealists, he might have done without it. Now, Perrier continues, we are safe against the cavils of

scenticism: the metaphysical theory of nerception steers clear of all the perplexities of representationalism: for it

gives us in perception one only object, the perception of matter; the objectivity of this datum keeps us clear from subjective idealism. From the perception of matter, a fact in which man merely participates, Ferrier infers a Divine mind, of which perceptions are the property: they are states of

the everlasting intellect. The exercise of the senses is the condition upon which we are permitted to apprehend or nurticipate in the objective percention of material things. This, shortly, is the position from which he

CHAPTER IV

"FIRRCE WARRES AND PARTHEDL BOYDS,"

'Ir Ferrier's life should be written hereafter,' said one, who knew and valued him, just after his death,1 Het his biographer take for its motto these five words from the Facey Queen which the biographer of the Nations has so hampily chosen.' Ferrier's life was not, what it need bans seems, looking back on its componatively uneventful course, consistently calm and placid, a life such as is commonly susposed to befit those who sour into long socculative heights, and find the 'difficult air' in which they dwell suited to their contemplative temperaments. Ferrier was intrepid and during in his reasoning; a soul of free kinns. Dr. Skelton sites he was considered in orthodox utilescophical circles: a High Tory in politics. vet one who did not hesitate to probe to the bottom the mestions which came before him, even though the task meant changing the whole attitude of mind from which he started. And once sure of his point, Ferrier never hesitated openly to declare it. What he hated most of all was 'laborious duliness and consecrated feebleness'; commonplace orthodoxy was repugnant to him in the extreme, and possibly few things price him more sincere pleasure than violently to combat it. The lighting instinct is proper to most men who have 'stuff' in them, The late Sir John Skehon, K.C.B.

JAMES FREDERICK FERRIER 57
and Ferrier in spite of his slight and delicately made
frame was manly to the core. But, as the same writer
many to the core. But, as the same writer
his rature was singularly pure, affectionate, and tolerant,
his rature was singularly pure, affectionate, and tolerant,
his roture was singularly pure, affectionate, and tolerant,
his projection was reported from his free.
His projection was reported to the property of the projection of the projection

his nature was singularly pure, affectionate, and tolerant. He loved his friends even better time he laused his feed. His projuidices were invincible; but, apart from his prejuidices, his midd was open and receptive—repeated to velecous truth from whatever quarter it came. Such a beet, sager untilse was more to be in the first fitted to beet, sager untilse was more to be in the first fitted for the companies of the same that the same that beet, sager untilse was more to be some them startless of physicial strength, and more during and subdety may be called into play in the fighting of them; and Ferrier, effected, sensitive, facilities, as he was, he and Ferrier, effected, sensitive, facilities, as he was, he and Ferrier, effected, sensitive, facilities, as he was, he are the same properties of the same properties.

the that. Justiles of mielicical are not lefs locen than beating of physical strength, and much more during and beating of physical strength, and the more during and and Farrior, refined, sensitive, fastidious, as in was, but his battles to fight, and fought them with an engerment of the sense of the sense of the sense and axed almost too great for the object to land in view. After his marriage in 1827, Farrier devoted his attention almost entirely to the philosophy he loved so well. If a full not sense—did not perhaps to second-the form the sense of the sen

the bulk, to white me the cloth cloth. Have years below to what the cloth clot

more faithful. In other lines, it is true, he read much and deeply; literature in its widest sense attracted him as it would attract any educated man. Poetry, above all, he loved, in spite of the tale sometimes told against him, that he gravely proposed turning In Memorium into trose in order to ascertain begically 'whether its merits were sustained by reuson as well as by clerute - a proposition which is said greatly to have entertained its author, when related to him by a mutual friend. Works of inangination be delighted in all spheres of literature appealed to him; he had the sense of form which is desied to many of his east; he wrote in a style at once brilliant and clear, and carelessness on this score in some of the writings of his countrymen initiated him, as those sensitive to such things are irritated. He has often been snoken of as a living protest against the materialism of the age, working away in the quiet, rewardless of the busy throug, without its ambitions and its cares. Sometimes, of course, he temporarily deserted the work he loved the best for regions less remote; sometimes he consented to lecture on purely literary topics, and often he wrote biographics for a dictionary. or articles or reviews for Blackwood's Edinburgh Mayanise. As it was to this serial that Ferrier made his most important contributions, both philosophic and literary, for the next fifteen years, and as it was in its pages that the development of his system may be traced, a few words about its history may not be out of place, although it is a history with which we have every person to be familiar now,

About 1816 the Edinburgh Kerken reigned supreme in literature. What was most strange, honover, was that the Conservative party, so strong in politics, had no literary organ of their own-and this at a time when the line of demorration between the rival sides in politics was so fixed that no virtue could be recognised in an opponent or in an opponent's views, even though they were held regarding matters quite remote from politics. The Whir party, though in a minority politically and socially, represented a minority of tremendous power. and possessed latent capabilities which soon broke forth into action. At this time, for instance, they had literary shillty of a singularly marked description : they were not hound down by traditions as were their opponents, and were consequently much more free to strike out lines of their own always of course under the enidance of that past-master in criticism, Francis Jeffrey. Although his words were received as oracular by his friends, this dictatorship in matters of literary taste was naturally extremely distanteful to those who differed from him. especially as the influence it exerted was not a local or national influence alone, but one which affected the oninion of the whole United Kinedom. For a time, no doubt, the party was so strong that the matter was not taken as serious, but it soon became evident that a strenuous offort must be made if affairs were to be placed on a better footing and if a protest were to be raised against the cynical criticism in which the Reviewers indulged. Consequently, in April 1817, a literary periodical called the Edinburgh Monthly Magazine was started by two gentlemen of some experience in literary matters, with the assistance of Mr. William Blackwood, an enterprising Edinburgh publisher, whose reputation had grown of recent years to considerable dimensions. This magazine was not a great success: the editors and publisher did not agree, and finally Mr. Blackwood

purchased the formers' share in it, took over the mage. zine himself, and, to make matters clear, page it his name: thus in October of the same year the first number of Blackwood's Edinburgh Magazine appeared. From a quiet and unobtrusive 'Miscellany' the magazine developed into a strongly partisan periodical, with a brilliant array of young contributors, determined to omose the Edinburgh Review regime with all its miels. and not afraid to sneak its mind respection the literary ends of the day. Every mouth some one vame under

the lash; Coleridge, Leigh Hunt, and many others were dealt with in terms unmeasured in their severity, and in the very first number appeared the famous 'Chaldee Manuscript' which made the Issir of Edinburgh society stand on end with horror. In spite of the immederate expression of its opinions, the magazine flourished it was fresh and novel, and much remine was enlisted in writing for its pages. The editor's identity was always matter for conjecture; but though the contributors included a number of distinguished men, such as Muckunsie, De Quincey, Hogg, Fraser Tytler, and Jameson, there were two names which were always associated with the periodical—those of John Gibson Lockburt and Ferrier's uncle and father-in-law, John Wilson, The latter in particular was often held to be the real editor whom everyone was so auxious to discover, but this belief has been emphatically denied. Although the management might appear to be in the control of a

triumwirate, Blackwood binned kept the supreme power in his hands, whatever he might at times find it politic to lead outsiders to infer. When Ferrier began to write for it in 1818, Blackwood's

Magazine was not of course the same fiery publication of

JAMES FREDERICK FERRIER

twenty years before; nor won. Bernier's articles for the most part of a nature such as to rupeal struggly to as excitable and partison public. Things had changed much since 187; the Reform Illi far Changed much since 187; the Reform Illi far Torylan polities of the country were very different; the Torylan of Perrier and his friends was quite milite the Torylan of the cuty part of the century; it more reaembled the

polities of the country wow way different; the Troylom of The corly part of the century; if more resembled the through of the corly part of the century; if more resembled the objected to vident changes only owing, to their reloance, and by no means to reform, if guidadly certical out, this picky was reflected in Margin regards to which this picky was reflected in Margin regards to which the public ext, both from family amodelates and benefit they polities. This first enterfulness us containly not hight in character; nor did it resemble the "hight, may" in critical transfer and approach to be the requisits for

modern serial publications. The subject was 'An Introduction to the Philosophy of Consciousness, and it consisted of a series of papers contributed during two successive years (1838 and 1839), which really embodied the result of the work in which Ferrier had during the past few years been curared, and simified a complete divengence from the accepted manner of regarding consciousness, and a protest against the 'faith-philosophy' which it became Ferrier's special mission to combat, Perhans it is only in Scotland that a public could be found sufficiently interested in speculative questions to make them the subject of interest to a fairly wide and general circle, such as would be likely to peruse the pages of a monthly magazine like Blackwood's. But of this interesting contribution to metaphysical speculation. in which Ferrier commenced his philosophical career by

grappling with the deenest and most fundamental ques-

tions in a manner, as Hamilton acknowledges, hitherto mattempted in the humbler speculations of this country, we shall speak later on, as also of his further contributions to the massaine.

In the year 1821, Sir William Hamilton had been a candidate for the Chair of Moral Philosophy along with John Wilson, Ferrier's future father in-law. In spite of Wilson's literary gifts, there is probably no question that of the two his opponent was best qualified to teach the subject, owing to the greatness of his philosophical attainments and the profundity of his learning. But in the temper of the time the merits of the candidates could not be calmly weighed by the Town Conneil, the electing body; and Hamilton was a Whig and a Whig contributor to that atheistical and Jacobin Edinburgh Review, and was therefore on no account to be elected. The disappointment to Hamilton was great; but it was slightly salved by his subsequent election to their credit be it said, for Whig principles were far from popular among them---by the Faculty of Advocates to a chair rendered vacant in 1821 by the resignation of Professor Fraser Tytler-the Chair of Civil History. In 1816, howover, Sir William's merits at length received their reward. and be became the Professor of Logic and Metaphysics. When Perrier probably felt the need of some more lucrative form of employment, he applied for the Chair of History once occupied by Hamilton, and rendered vacant by the resignation of Professor Skene; he obtained the appointment in 1842, and held it for four yours subsequently. Lance remuneration it certainly did not bring with it, but the duties were comparatively and correspondingly light.1 Indeed, as attendance was not 3 There was a movement amongst the students to excure the chair

JAMES FREDERICK FERRIER required of students studying for the degrees in Arts, or for any of the professions, the difficulty was to form a regular class at all. The salary paid to Sir William was £100 a year, and even this small sum was apparently only to be obtained with difficulty. The main advantage

of holding the chair at all was the prospect it held out of succeeding later on to some more important office. Of Ferrier's class work at this time we know but little. The reading requisite for the post was likely to prove useful in Inter days, and could not have been uncongenial; but probably in a class sometimes formed-if tradition speak aright-of one solitary student, the work of preparation

would not be taken very seriously. Anyhow, there was plenty of time left to pursue his philosophic studies; and in 1844-45, when Sir William Hamilton came so near to death, Ferrier noted as his substitute, and carried on his classes with zeal and with success-a success which was warmly acknowledged by the Professor. Of course, though he conducted the examinations and other classwork, Ferrier merely read the loctures written by Hamilton; else there might, one would fancy, be found to be a lack of continuity between the deliverances of the two staunch friends but uncompromising opponents. Any differences of opinion made, however, no difference in their friendship. The distress of Ferrier on his friend's sudden paralytic seisure has already been described; to his affectionate nature it was no small thing that one for whom he had so deep a regard came so very near death's

door. Every Sunday white in Edinburgh, he spent the afternoon in walking with his friend and in talking of the subjects which most interested both. for Thomes Carlyle, then coming into fame amount them : but

Ferrier was chosen by the patress, the Faculty of Advocates.

Of these early days Professor Fraser writes :- Alar personal intercourse with Ferrier was very infrequent, but very delightful when it did occur. He was surely the most nicturesome figure among the Scottish philosophers -casy, graceful, humorous, enmently subtle, and with a fine literary faculty---qualities not conspicuous in most of them. When I was a private member of Sir W. Hamilton's advanced class in metaphysics in 1848-40. and for some years after, I was often at Sir William's house, and Ferrier was sometimes of the party on these occasions. I remember his kindly familiarity with us students, the interest and sympathy with which he entered into metaphysical discussion, his help and co-operation in a metaphysical society which we were endeavouring to organise. His essays on the Philosophy of Comeriousnose were then being issued in Blackwood, and were felt to open questions strange at a time when speculation was almost dead in Scotland- Reid at a discount, Brown found empty, and Hamilton, with Kant, only struction into ascendency.

In these days, if I remarker right, Ferrier Rivel in Carlon Street, Absolubing- on mixturent whose interest was all in keltex and philosophy and the first conman in the keltex and philosophy and the first conlability for the common per a complement that of the political nameum and found of a play, with a seisurific interest in all seets of fact and their manulage, and perhaps in dispension to promote. I remember the analysis of the common of misseasing shapes are also considered to administration of misseasing shapes and the common of the common stages and the common of the To Ferrier the phonoments augmented reministration of the To Ferrier the phonoments augmented to the contract of this without reclusion result. The subject was One on which Ferrier afterwards wrote in Blackmonf, and it was a subject which abroys had the despeat integrest for him. It, however, as he believed, cost him the priemdship of Professor Cairns, a frequent subject at these informal sciences, and one whom Ferrier rashly twitted for what he evidently regarded as a weakness, bits enaily accomplished subjection to the application of

This entity accompanies an appearance to the appearance of December 200 Couldar of Montal Philosophy in the Univerity of the Couldar of Montal Philosophy in the Univerity of the Couldary of the Couldary of the Couldary Droce held by Dr. Challeness, became vacant by the Ormor's death, and Perier entered as a candidate. Eighly recommended as he was by Hamilton and others, Perrier was the successful applicant, and St. Andrew Secration his home for minutees years thereafter, or until

uis cleath in 1864. Suich is a hold statement of the facts of what would com a singularly uneventful life. Life divided between he study, library, and classroom, there was little room ar incident outside the ordinary incidents of domestic rad academic routine. Yet Ferrier never sank into the Onventionality which life in a small University town vight induce. His interests were always frosh; he was Onstantly engaged in writing and rewriting his lectures. Trich, unlike some of his calling, he was not content to said and re-read from year to year unaltered. His soughts were constantly on his subject and on his udents, planning how best to communicate to them the nowledge that he was endeavouring to convey-a life Ixich came as near the ideal of philosophic devotion as perhaps possible in this nineteenth century of turnoil

perhaps possible in this nineteenth century of turmoil unrest. Still, gentleman and man of culture as he as, Ferrier had a fighting side as well, and that side was

once or twice aroused in all the vehemence of its strength.

Twice Ferrier made application for a philos chair in the town of his birth and boyhood. In when his father-in-law, John Wilson, retired, he be candidate for the professorship of Moral Philoso the University of Edinburgh; and then again, in a offened himself as a successor to Sir William Ha as Professor of Logic and Metaphysics, On occasion was he successful, and on both occasio suffered much from educations statements respect "Cerman" and unorthodox views - a kind of er which is more than likely to arise and carry weigh the indexs are men of honourable character but of education, men to whom a shibbuleth is everethic real progress in learning nothing. On the first or there were several candidates who submitted applications, but on Professor McCosh's retiring the combat, the two who were 'in the running Professor Ferrier of St. Andrews and Professor Macc of the Free Church College in Edinburgh. It is co as instancing the strange change which had roun the politics of Scotland since the Reform Act had a that the very influences that told in favour of Wilson in amplying for a professorship in 1821 a thirty years later tell as strongly against bis son-In 1852, nine years after the Disamption, so great matters altered, that the Free Church liberal party of all before it in the Corporation. And althour liberal journals of the earlier date were never timaintaining liberty of thought and action, yet circumstances changed, the liberty amorated in a what different light; and the qualification of be

Whig was added to a considerable number of appointments both in the Church and in the State. Professor Macdougall, Ferrier's opponent, had held his professorship in the Proc Church College, lately established for the teaching of theology and preparation of candidates for the ministry. On the establishment of the College, the subject of Moral Philosophy was considered to be one which should be taught elsewhere than in an 'Erastian' University, and accordingly it was thought necessary to institute the chair occupied by Professor Macdougall. In the first instance the class was eminerally successful in point of numbers, and the corresponding class in the University proportionately suffered; but as time went on the attendance in the Free Church class dwindled, and it was considered that this chair need not be continued, but that students might be permitted to attend at the University. When Professor Macdougall now offered himself as candidate for the University chair. there was of course an immediate outery of a lola-Rightly or prongly it was said, 'Let the Free Church have a Professor of her own body and opinions if she will, but why force him upon the Established Church as well; are her country and ministers to be indoctrinated with Voluntary principles? There might not have been much force in the argument had the status of the two candidates been the same, but it was evident to all unprojudiced observers that this was far from being the case. And it could hardly be pleaded in justification of the Council's action that they formed their judgment upon the testimonials laid before them; for Ferrier's for

exceeded his rival's in weight, if not in strength of extression, and included in their number communications from such men as Sir William Hamilton, Do Onlnew.

Bulwer, Alison, and Lockbut--men the most distinenished of the age. De Quincry's opinion of Ferrier is worth quoting. He says that he regards him as "the metaphysician of greatest promise among his contemnomics either in England or in Scotland,' and the testinomial which at this time he accorded Ferrier is as gamerkable a document as is often produced on such occasions, when commonplace would usually appear to be the object aimed at. It is several pages in length, and goes fully into the question not only of what Ferrier was, but also of what a candidate ought to be. Onlinear sacules warmly of Ferrier's services in respect of the Rudish rendering of Finat before alluded to and points out the benefit there is in baving had an education which has run along two sexuate paths, paths differing from one another in nature, doubtless, but integrating likewise-the one being that resulting from his intercourse with Wilson and his literary coterie, the other that of the course of study he had pursued on German lines. He sums un Ferrier's philosophic qualities by saying, 'Out of Germany, and comparing bim with the men of his own reporation, such at least as I had now means of estimating. Mr. Ferrier was the only man who exhibited much of true metaphysical subtlety, as contessed with more disloctical acutoness. For this testimonial, we may incidentally mention. Ferrier writes a most interesting letter of thanks, which is published in his Remains. As a return for the kindness done him, he 'sets forth a slight chart of the speculative latitudes' he had reached, and which he 'expects to pavicate without being weeked?---mally an admirably clear enitonic in so short a snore of the argument of the Institutes.

ort a space of the argument of the Institutes.

But to come back to the contest: in units of testi-

monials, the fact remained that Ferrier had studied

German philosophy, and might have imhibed some German infidelity, while his opponent made no professions of being acquainted either with the German philosophy or language, besides having the advantage of

being a Liberal and Free Churchman; and he was consequently appointed to the chair. Of course, there was an outery. The election was put forward as an argument against the abolition of Tests though in this caso Ferrier, as an Episcopalian, might be said to be

a Dissenter equally with his opponent. It was argued that the election should be set aside unless the necessary subscription were made before the Presbytery of the bounds. For a century back such tests had not been exacted as far as the Moral Philosophy chair was concerned, nor would they probably have been so had Ferrier himself been nominated. But though the Presbytery concerned was in this case prepared to go all lengths, it appeared that it was not in its members that the initiative was vested, the practice being to take the oath before the Lord Provest or other authorised magistrate. Consequently, indignant at discovering their impotence, the mumbers of the body retaliated by de-

claring that they would divert past the new Professor's class the students who should afterwards come within their lurisdiction, and thus, by their foolish action, they probably did their best to bring about the result they derrecated so much-the abolition of Tests in their entirety. Ecclesiastical feeling run high at the time, and things were said and done on both sides which were far from being wise or prudent. But the effect on a sensitive nature like Ferrier's is easy to imagine. This was the first blow he had met with, and being the first he did not

FAMOUS SCOTS take it onite so seriously to heart. But when it was followed years later by yet another repulse, signifying to his view an attitude of mind in orthodox Scotland appropriate any liberty of thought amonest its teachers. Ferrier felt the day for silence was ended, and, wisely or movingly, he published a hot defence of his position in a

panishlet entitled Scottisk Philosophy, the Old and the Alon. On this accusion the one-tion had risen above the mere discussion of Church and Tests; the whole future of philosophy in Scotland was, he believed, at stake; it was time, he felt, that someone should speak out his mind, and who more suitable than the leader of the modern movement and the one, as he considered it,

who had suffered most by his oninious? Without having lived through the time or seen something of its effects, it would be difficult to realise how currow were the bounds allowed to susculative thereby some forty years are in Scotland. Since the old days of Moderation and another these had indeed been a great region) of interest in such matters as concerned. Helief

Men's convictions were intense and sincere; and what had once been a subject of convention and common usage, bad now become the one important topic of their lives. So far the change was all for the good; it promoted many important virtues; it made men serious about serious thious; it made them realise their responsibilities as human beings. But as those who lived

through it, or saw the results it brought about, must also know, it had another side. A certain univitual selfassurance sprang into existence, which, though it was bred of intense reality of conviction, brought with it consequences of a specially trying kind to those who did not altogether share in it. As so often hannens when a new light dawns, men thought that to them at length all truth land been revealed, and acted in accordance with this belief. They formulated their systems—bide-bound ulmost as before—and deckled in their minds that in them they had the standards for judging of their fellows. But Truth is a strange will-othewing after all,—when you think we have neathed tree is he had obtain our men.

we think we have reached her, she has cluded our erran--and so when those rose up who said the end of the matter was not yet, a storm of indignation fell mon their heads. This is what buppened with Ferrier and the orthodox Edinburgh world. There might, it was said by the latter, he men lax enough to listen to reasonings such as his, and even to agree with them, but for those who know the truth as it was in its reality, such nearlesiner to latitudinarian doctrines was umardonable. And as at this time the Town Council of Edinburgh was seriously inclined (some of the members, in the second instance, were the same as those who had adiadiented in the former contest), Ferrier's fate was, he considered, scaled before the question roully came before them. Whether the matter was quite as serious as Ferrier thought, it is perhaps unnecessary to say. At anymte, there was a considerable element of trath in the view he took of it, and he was justified in much-if not in allof what he said in his defence. The Institutes, first published in 1854, had just reached a second edition so that his views were fairly before the world. What caused the tremendous outburst of opposition we must take another chapter to consider; and then we must try to trace the course of Perrier's development from the time at which he first began to write on philosophic subjects, and when he openly broke with the Scottish

School of Philosophy.

CHAPTER V

DEVELOPMENT OF "SCOTTISH PHILOSOPHY, THE OLD AND THE NEW "SHEETER AS A CORRESPONDENT

It is probably in the main a wise rule for defeated candidates to keep silence about the cause of their defeat. But every rule has its exception, and there are times in which we honour a man none the less because --- contrary to the dictates of worldly wisdom - he gives write to the sense of injustice that is rankling in his mind. Perrier had been disappointed in 1862 in not obtaining the Chair of Moral Philosophy for which he was a candidate; but then he had not published the work which has paade his name fanous, and his claims were therefore not what afterwards they became. But when in 1866, after the Institutes had been two years before the public, and just after the bank had reached a second edition, another defeat followed on the first Ferrier ascribed the result to the opposition to and misrepresentation of his waters, and claimed with some degree of justice that it was not his merits that were taken into account, but the supposed orthodoxy, or want of orthodoxy, of his views. For this reseon he issued a 'Statement' in pumphlet form, entitled Scottish Philotophy, the Old and the New, dealing with the matter at length.

In Ferrier's view, a serious crisis had been arrived at

in the bistory of the University of Rindsungh, and one within singlike host per further cell over not something done to place matter on a better footing. Hat the Town Commel, the descing looky, town districts simply to present the control of the conclusion that what loyed do must cod in the cuttalinent of all liberty in regard to philosophical opinion, so for are the University was concerned, he felt the land comes to speak. For a sparter of a contrary he had devoted the toot part of the first and congress to the south of

as one of the public instructors of the land. What cause,

he asked, had a looly like the Council to say originality was to be prescribed and independence utterly facibidden? "Through their likemism tests had been practically sholished: was another test, far more cuseding than the last, to be ambituted in their place? A condidate for a publicospier's clarity need not be a believer in Clinist or a member of the listabilisted Chunti; but he mast, it would appose, fellowe in Dr. Redd and the Hamiltonian system of philocopies. The Commonwealth which applies the control of the country of the The Commonwealth which, against which is a superior of the country of the The Commonwealth which, against which is a superior of the country of the commonwealth which against the country of the country of the commonwealth which against the country of the country of the commonwealth which against the country of the countr

The 'common-sense' school, against which 'Perior's attacks were mainly directed, too often found its satisfaction in commonsulates astements of obvious fields, and we cannot wender that 'Perior's alloud and why Scottills students should be required to pay for 'bottled sir' while the whole atmosphere is 'finanting,'"—a question, indeed, or the common state of the resulting,'"—a question, indeed, contract testions discretations of the time, all expressing testis which seem incontroversible in their nature, but all of which can be a locaractory-order that the contraction of the c

Philosophy to Berries is not the elementary science that it would uppear from these discounters; howe ways of the transition of the property of the property of the beautiful to confirm the property of the consideration of the property of the property of the property than occurrence of the property of the property of the amount of Hergel's orderized by system from German and, Hergel, be constantly conference, is frequently to him incapitation, and his system is Southful for the case.

A seem obst of gustinals to Hamilton, Fevier, it is was achordosleger ven while he differs from his view, —a dich to me whose 'non loud travel or capitally find from whom he had beamed somethy whom, study find from whom he had beamed somethy whom, agroad with Ferrier; he had then Hamilton had not agroad with Ferrier; he had then for the resulting at his order of the first price of the first price who had always and foreign seem to acknow or think the lear of him for not resulting at his word his own and of information for Proceeding, when the processing was also always to be had a store given him, and proceeding the state of the state of the state of the had been always to be a state of the state of the state of the had been always to be a state of the state o

:ebv making faith of no effect; also that he denied segments existence of the material world and the

d, and that (and probably this is the most serious nt in the change) the substantiality of the mind was verted, and consequently helief in personal identity leved impossible. He further said that by Ferrier plate existence is reduced to a mere relation, and lly, that his conception of a Deity is inadequate, and anlysics and natural theology are divocced. Ve cannot, of course, deal in detail with Ferrier's rectic repudiation of the accusation brought so cifically against him. The heat with which he wrote as scarcely instifled now that we look back on it

o the standboint of more than forty years about. we do not realise how much such accurations meant a man's personal advancement only, but also the nion in which he was held by those for whose opinion sared the most. The greater toleration of the present may mean corresponding lack of seal or interest, but yout thereby endangering the object held in view. Cairus's attack-without intention, for he was an ourable men and able scholar-was unjust. Perriet

he time at which they were made-show they affected sly it also means a recognition of the fact that men / choose their own methods in the search for truth s not claim to #2000 existence-he accepts it, and only sons as to what it is: as to the material world, he nowledges not a mere material world, but one alone a which intelligence is and must be known; the sexuate stence of mind he likewise denies only in so far as assert that mind without thought is nonsense. The stantislity of the mind he maintains as the one great manent existence amid all fluctuations and confuguesie, and without present his unity, we did so, the without and we have more and the solution of the solut

very similar lines,1 Ferrier allowed bimself to turn from philosophical to personal criticism, and to say what he must afterwards have respected. In the second edition of his first numphlet these references were modified, and in any case they must be ascribed to the quick tenner with which he was naturally endowed, and which led him to carress his feedings more strongly them be should rather than to deliberate judgment. No one was more sensible than he of the danger to which he was subject of allowing bineself to be carried off his feet in the heat of argument. This is very clearly shown by a letter to a friend quoted in the Remains: 'One thing I would recommend, not to be too sharp in your criticism of others. No one has committed this fault oftener, or in more dispused to commit it then myself; but I am certain that it is not pleasing to the reader, and after an A Letter to the Lord Advante on the Mountly of a Change in the Patranage of the University of Edinburgh.

interval it is displessing to oneself. In the best and huny of writing a losture I often hit a brother philosophers as I think eleverly enough, but on coming to it coulty next year I very seldom repeat the passages. An admission and acknowledgment which does a proad man like Ferrier excili.

to at coully next your I very soldens repeat the prompty. An admiration and acknowledgment which these a prend man like Verice credit.

One a prend man like Verice credit.

One of the country of the country of the country of the mass of criticals and counter-reliation (for these were oldens with took up the onlepts on ciliber side, nears the centerway was firstly started) upen the mass the centerway was firstly started) upen the mass the centerway was firstly started upen the information Town Counciliors of Refindensyla, the whom they were directed: care would imaging the them to who their powers centaled if they wave to involve their powers centaled if they wave to involve their powers consisted in the property of existence, and countrying thereto of existence, and

unfortuned Twen Controllies of Findamph, in wine they were directle can would imagine them to wish that power certained if they were the sixthes to their power certained if they were to sixthes to the third power certained in the power certained in the power certained in the certain certain the certain certain the certain certain the certain the certain certain the certain certain the certain the certain certain the certained certain the certained certain the certained certain the certained certain the certain the certained certain the certained certain the certained certained certain the certained certain the certain the certained certain the ce

fair consideration of his claims, and he undoubtedly apoke more strongly than the case required. After this continuessy was over, Perrier's interest in polemical phistosphy in great depree rancel; and in the quies of the old University town of St. Andrews—the town which provides so inch a fund of bistoric interest combined with the academic calm of University life

-Ferrier passed the remainder of his days working at his favourite subjects. Sometimes these were varied by incursions into literature, in which his interest grew ever keener: and economics, which was one of the subjects he was bound to teach. His life was uneventful; it was varied little by expeditions into the outer world, much as these would have been appreciated by his friends. His whole interest was centred in his work and in the University in which he taught, and whose well-being was so dear to him. Of his letters, few, unfortunately, have been preserved; and this is the more unfortunate that he had the gift, now comparatively so rare, of expressing himself with case, and in bright, well-chosen language. Of his correspondents one only seems to have preserved the letters written to him, Mr. George Makgill of Kemback, a peighbouring laird in Fife and advocate in Edinburgh, whose similarity in tastes drew bim towards the St. Andrews Philosophy Professor.

Of these letters there are some of sufficient interest to beer quotation. One of the first is written in October 1831 from St Andrews, and plunges into the deepest topics without much preface. Ferrier says:— 'What is the Beginning of Philosophy' Philosophy

must have have the same Beginning that all other things have, otherwise there would be something peculiar or momenlous or sectarian in its origin, which would destroy its claims to genuineness and exhibitivy. What, then, is the Beginning of all things and consequently the Beginning of Philosophy?

Answer-Want.

Want is the Beginning of Philosophy because it is the Beginning of all things. Is the Beginning of Philosophy a bodily want? No. Why not? Because nothing that may be given to the Body has any effect in appearing the want. The Beginning of Philosophy, then, must be an intellectual want-a Hunger of the Soul.

But all wants have their objects in which they seek and find their gratification. What then is the object of the hunger of the soul?

Answer-KNOWLEDGE

Philosophy is a Hunger of the Soul after Knowledge. What is Knowledge?-reduced through various intermediate stages to question, what is the common and essential quality in all knowledge-the quality which makes knowledge knowledge? Answer approached by missing question: What is the essential quality in all food-the quality which makes food food? This is obviously its physically nutritive quality. Whatever has the nutritive property is food; whatever has it not is not food, however like excellent boof and mutton it may be. So in regard to knowledge, its common and essential quality-the quality in virtue of which knowlodge is knowledge-is its nutritive quality. Whatever nourishes and satisfies the mind is knowledge, as whatever nourishes and satisfies the body is food. The intellectually autritive property in knowledge is the common and essential property in knowledge. What is

the nutritive quality in knowledge? Answer (without beating about the bush)-TRUTH. 'What is TRUTH?' Answer-Truth is wisatover is supported by Evidence.

'What is EVIDENCE? Evidence is whatever is supported by Experience. What is EXPERIENCE? Here we stop; we can only divide Experience into its kinds. which are two, Experience of Fact and Experience of Pure Reason. Observe the manoeuvre in the last line by

80 which you knaves of the anti-metaphysical school are outwitted. You oppose Pure Reason to Experience, and philosophers generally assent to the distinction. This at once gives your school the advantage, for the world will always cleave to experience in preference to anything else, leaving us metaphysicians, who are supposed to abandon experience, hanging as it were in baskets in the clouds. But I do not abandon experience as the ultimate foundation of all knowledge; only I maintain that there are two kinds of experience, both of which are

equally experience, the experience of Fact and the experience of Pure Resson. You are thus deprived of your advantage. I am as much a man of experience as you are." Evidently it had been a question with Ferrier whether be should use the expression Experience, so well known to us now, or substitute for it Consciousness, which, as a matter of fact, he afterwards did: 'Why is it so grievous and fatal an error to confound Experience and Consciousness? Is not a man's experience the whole developed contents of his consciousness? I cannot see how this can be denied. And therefore, before you wrote, I was swithering (and am so still) whether I should not make consciousness the basis of the whole superstructure-the raw material of the article which in its finished state is knowledge. After all, the distute, I suspect, is mainly verbal.

There are many evidences in these letters that Ferrier was not neelecting German Philosophy, for taking Experience as his basis he shows how it may be divided into Wesen (an sich), Sevn (für sich), and the Berriff (anundfirrich) on the lines of German motaphysics. As to the 'Common-Sense' Philosophy, he expresses himself in no measured terms : 'I am glad

we agree in opinion as to the merits of the Common-Sense Philosophy. Considered in its details and accessories, it certainly contains many good things; but, viewed as a whole and in executialibus, it is about the greatest humbur that ever was nalmed off upon an unwary world. As an instance among many which might be addressed, of the ambiguity of the word, and of the vacillation of the members of this school, it may be remarked that while Reid made the essence of commonsense to consist in this, that its judgments are not conclusions obtained by ratiocination (IVerks, Sir W. Hamilton's edition, p. 425), Stewart, on the contrary, holds that these judgments are "the result of a train of reasoning so rapid as to escape notice" (Elements, vol. ii. (1. 103). Sir W.'s one hundred and six spitnesses are a most conglomorate set, and a little cross-examination

The most important part of Ferrier's system was his working out of the 'Theory of Ignorance,' in which indeed, he might congratulate himself in having in great mensure broken open new ground. He says of it: Hurrah, eipsea, I have discovered the Law of Lenorance-and if I had a hocatomb of kain hens at my command I would sacrifice them instanter to the propitious patron of metaphysics. Look you here. The Law of Knowledge is this, that in order to know any one thing we must always know two things; hee cum alie -- object plus subject - thing + me. This is the unit of knowledge. Analogously, only inversely, in order to be isnorant of any owe thing we must be ignorant of tree things - hajer cum afio-object plus subject - thing 4 me. This is the unit of ignorance.' Apparently, in spite of full explanation of his newly-discovered view.

would try their mettle severely."

8. FAMOUS SCOTS Ferrier's correspondent had failed to take it in, and consequently he gently rails at him for 'sticking at the axiom? and wishes him to bolo him to a name for what he calls the 'Agnolology' for want of something better. He sees on: "I take it that I have caught you in my net, and that wallon about as you will I shall land you at last. I have now little fear that I shall succeed in convincing you, or at anyrate less hardened sinners, that the knowledge of object-subject is a self-contractiction, and that therefore object-subject, or matter ser se,

is not a thing of which we can with any sense or propriety be said to be ignorant. Be this as it may, you must at anyrate recognise in this doctrine a very great novelty in philosophy. The more incogitable a thing becomes, the more ignorant of it do me become-that is the natural supposition. Is it not then a bold sand original stroke to show that when a thing passes into absolute incogitability we coase that instant to be ignorant of it? I believe that dectrine to be right and true, but I am certain that, obvious as it is, it has been nowhere anticipated or even hinted at in the bygonic career of speculation. I claim this as my discovery. In the dectrine of Ignorance I believe that I have absolately no precursor. What think you? Mr. Makgill had accused Perrier of anthropomorphism in his system, and he replies as follows :- You can not chame me with anthropomorphism without being guilty of it vourself. Don't you see that "the Beyond" all human thought and knowledge is itself a category of human thought? There is much maissié in the procedure of you cautious gentry who would keep scrupulously within the length of your tether: as if the conception of a swithout that tether was not a mode of thinking. Will

you tell me why you and Kant and others don't make existence a category of human thought? This has always nuzzled me.

South the man who make extension and time more forms of human hamsdegs need twee sude on known of terms of human hamsdegs need twee sude on known of common forms that the profit is just satisfied. But any to cominder this, that the mathematic and the main extension properties are best of occasion that any particular part a minimal test to most comtains a fine of the part and the sum of the sumths and the part and the sum of the sum of the thick, and for my part a minimal that the most comtable super anthropomorphics of the tree. This criticism of the "Higward" and is authors the constraint, and the sum of the sum

forcibly before our minds the truth of Goethe's well-

known saying: 'Der Mensch begreift niemate mie authysbomerbhisch er ist'

The doctrine of Ignomace, so essential to Ferrier's system, he found it hard to make clear to others :- 'I am astonished at your not scoing the use, indeed the absolute necessity, of a true doctrine of importance. This blindness of yours shows me what I may expect from the public; and how careful I must be, if I would so down at all, to render myself perfectly clear and explicit. Don't you see that a correct doctrine of ignorance is necessary for two reasons-first, on account of the false doctrine of ignorance universally provident. one which has hitherto rendered, and must ever rouder. anything like a scientific ontology impossible; and, seawd/r, because this correct theory of ignorance follows inevitably from my doctrine of knowledge? This, which I consider a very strong recommendation, an indispensable condition of the theory of impended is the very ground on which you object to it. Sarely you would 84 FAMOUS SCOTS not have me establish a doctrine of ignorance which was not consistent with my doctrine of knowledge. Surely I am entitled to deduce all that is logically deducible from my principles. Your meaning I presume is that my doctrine of ignorance flows so manifestly from my doctrine of knowledge that it is unnecessary to develop and nerade it. There I differ from you. It flows inesitably, but I cannot think that it flows obviously. Else why was it never hit upon until now? . . . Don't tell me, then, that my conclusions that matter per se, Ding an sick is what it is impossible for us to be ignorant of, just Accesse it is absolutely unknowable (and for 110 other reason). Don't tell me that this conclusion is so obvious as not to require to be put down in black and white, when we find Kant and spary other philosopher drawing, but most erroneously, the directly opposite conclusion from the same premises. Matter for se,

ts, or of anything which is absolutely unknowable.'

This matter of the Ding an tick Ferrier felt to be
the crucial point in his system: 'You talk glibly of

cistence for re," as maids of fifteen do of puppy dogs, s shows that, like a carpet knight, you have never jet the real smoke of metaphysical lattle, but at most can then part in the share fights and listened to the Class pergons of the martinet of Königsberg. You find existence for ze a temptor estimate than you

Cless proposes of the martinet of Königeberg. You find existence for ≈ a tougher eastoner than you gine.'

In to the Institutes, then on the verge of publication, author says: 'I am inclined to follow your advice, truin usual to the title of the work and to say it.

av, in segard to the title of the work, and to call it is "Theory of Knowing and Bleing," leaving out practo. But why an introduction to metaphysics Profits the bar introduction to metaphysics Profits citil, what and where are metaphysics themselves is, it is shall be called a nevel-wood of metaphysics cannot be called a new profits of the profits o

notaphysics. You are an uncommonly modest fellow see far as the protestations of your friends are tremed!*
This correspondence appears to have continued thuty for some years, and to have dealt almost yolf with metaphysical and concerning uniform while

Indy for some years, and to have dealt almost rely with inculpipacity and economic subjects—the icuts which were constantly in Petrier's mind, as he jut them in the University and relet to work them in his study. Doubtless it was of the general metally provided by the control of the provided provided by his feientistic with his correspondent, so interest in judicolopily was been, and whose cal faculties were exceptionally ractic, although he are accomplished any original vector on philosophiles.

f other letters few have been preserved. Absence

from home did not make a peason for writing, for Ferrier's journeyings were but few. In 1850, however, he made an expedition to England to see his newlymarried daughter, Lady Gunt, start for India with her husband, Sir Alexander Grant, after his appointment to the Chancelloship of the University of Bombay. From Southampton he made his way to the scene of his schooldays at Greenwich, from which place he writes to one of the sons of Dr. Brane of Ruthwell, with whom he spent a happy childhood; 'One of our fetes was a sumptions fish dinner at Greenwich. I call it sumptuons, but in truth the fish was utter trush, the hest of them not comparable to Lock Fype herring. Whitebuilt is the greatest humbug of the age, though it may be heresy to say so in your neighbourhood." This journey was concluded by a visit to Oxford and to the Lake country, with both of which Ferrier's associations were so many and so agreeable.

as many and an approache.

In the process of the pr

We stand loose from all determinations. That is our chance of escaping his clutches."

This expectation with views and hopes for an after life; in boards for each to an immortality in which the life; in boards for each to an immortality in which the life; in th

CHAPTER VI

MRITINGS

EXERGIZED OF DRIPOGODIAL DRIPOGODIRGIA

It om were relaxly trays Professor Passer, for the Lagdish wirings which are fitted in the most attractive way to absorb a resider of competent intelligence and imagination in the final or mestaphical measurements that participates, the final or mestaphical measurements that participates, the final or mestaphical function project into Homan Understanding, and some of the larley published Pallingshidal Remairs of Professors Permit one probably those which would lost deserve to be mentioned.*

It has been given to fore philosophers of modern things or wire on pillosopher penalson in a name are some no head and no conviceing no that of Verrier. Now can it in badd and no conviceing no that of Verrier. Now can it in the case he said that matter is accredict of form, for the value of the contract of the property of the contract of the Philosopher Contract of the contract o

work during the years which had clapsed since be first began to be really interested in philosophy, and to feel that the way of locking at it adopted almost universally in Scotland was not satisfying to himself, or in any way deformable.

The whole point in Ferrier's view turns upon the way in which we look at 'Mind.' 'The human mind, to speak it profanely, says Ferrier, 'is like the goose that laid the golden eggs. The metaphysician resembles the analytic poulterer who slew it to get at them in a lump. and found nothing for his pains. . . . Look at thought, and feeling, and passion, as they glow in the pages of Shakespeare-golden eggs indeed! Look at the same as they stagnate on the dissecting-table of Dr. Brown, and marvel at the change. Behold how shapeless and extinct they have become ! Locke began by saying there are no original ideas simply impressions from without: Home then says cause and effect are incorpoble of evolunation. and the notion which we form of them is a nonentity, seeing that we have a series of impressions alone to work from ; Reid says there is a mind and there is an object, and calls in common-sense to interpret between the two But the mistake all through is very evident; man looks at Nature in a certain way, interprets her by certain categories, and then he turns his eye upon himself, endenyouring thereby to judge of what he finds within by methods of a similar kind. And the human mind cannot be so 'objectised'; it is something more than the sum of its 'feelings,' 'passions,' and 'states of mind.' Dr. Reid had done a service by exploding the old doctrine of 'ideas'; he brought mind into contact with immediate things, but much more is left for us to do; the same office has to be performed for 'mind'-that is, mind when

we regard it as smeething which connects us with the universe, or something which can be looked at and cambrade, are singled look at our commins a thing outcome and the single single single single single single stack manimation. It is not enough for a man that he is should? There can be no disquare about that . Jan; what more would I here? What more would I law? Will would I be and I have been single si

would I he model? I am surely, therefore be it specific. What, there, makes a num what he is? I is the fact of consciousness, the first which marks him of from all content things with a deep low of reputation. It is this made to its objects, produced the surely of the

Perrier from this time outcome, from his youth until his chard, heep can definite unin vive: the object of his fifth was to insist with all his night that our interests must be conventioned on must be in as wans, and not the human heigs in affected. The conservousees of a state of mind he way different from that state of wind he way, different from that state of wind he way, different from that state of wind he will be supported by the state of wind he will be supported by the state of wind he will be supported by the state of wind he will be supported by the state of wind he will be supported by the state of wind he will be supported by the state of wind he will be supported by the state of wind he will be supported by the state of wind he will be supported by the should, Ferrier asyn, sling of worst may his facts. May be supported and a variation of the state of which we will be supported by the state of which we may be referred to 'mind' indeed, but be cannot lay his hands upon the fact of consciousness. That fact cannot he conscirud of as vested in the object called the 'human mind,' an object being something really or ideally different from ourselves. In speaking of 'my mind,'

In connectivat of as vested on the object failed the "lumin mind," an eligible being sometime failed for videally different from ourselves. In speaking of "my mind," mind may be what it charges, has the connectossness is in the eggs; and mind is really distitute of consciousness is observable the general mind is really distitute of consciousness, observable the general members of the different in it. The differents is not follows: "Unless the philosophies of mind artitutes consciousness to mind, they leaves use of wice artitutes of the consciousness to mind, they leaves use of wice artitutes of the consciousness to mind, they leaves use of wice

attribute conscienssess to mind, they leave out of view the most important phenomena of man; and /f bey attribute normalismenses to mind, they annihilate the object of their research, in sur far as the whole extent of longer of their research, in sur far as the whole extent of Since Kerise's time this point has been worked out very fully, and by room men successfully than by an Rogfish philosopher, Professor T. H. Green of Oxford, in his Justrabition to the worked of Himse. Dat when Pertier worke, his lifess were newy in England at least he was leading up ground felicities unterched, and thereas

in his harachedors to the works of Etnus. Just when Yestive strongs, his dase warm eng in Digidan al teast to was breaking up pround hitterior untersched, and thereion the shiel of guildrich wave miles in root small, force the shiel of guildrich wave with his root small, warmed. "Commens-man," the admires officed for all althomphic elitothosis, restuly the professor of philosops, and to speak of the 'philosophy of common-man's instangly to restinct the problems by its mean, tast simply to be constrained their including the forms in least it market on stample to solve the difficulties that present thermsdown, and it is fully to reggest its obdags on the state of the state of the state of the state of the guildrich force in the problems of the state of the state of it market on stample to solve the difficulties that present thermsdown, and it is fully to reggest its obdags on the state of the state o

ness-is independent, and which can be made an object

to him. Were it not so, of course he could not presible arrive at freedom, but would merely be the helpless child of destiny; and, as Ferrier points out, were consciousness and amention one, consciousness would not have the power, undoubtedly possessed by it, of 'necovering the balance, that it loses on experiencine pain or passion: the return of consciousness, as he puts it, flowers the tenmerature' of the sensation or the russion, and the man regains the personality that for the time had almost vanished. A man, he tells us, can hardle even be said to be the 'victim' of his mind, and irresponsible. Le., man stands aloof from the modifications which may visig him therefore we should study him as he is and not merely these 'states of mind' common to him and to animals alike. And consciousness must be active, exercising itself upon those states, and thereby realising human freedom.

Philosophy, then, is the gozael of freedom as comtrasted with the bondage of the physical kingdom. But we are in subjection at the first, and all our lifetime a constant fight is being carried on. Philosophy points its groy in grey, another great philosopher has told us, only when the freshness and life of youth has gone; the reconciliation is in the ideal, not the actual world. And so with Ferrier: 'The flowers of the hampiness,' says he, 'are withered. They could not last; they eilded but for a day the opening portals of life. But in their place I will give thee feedour's flowers. To set according to thy inclination may be enjoyment; but know that to act against it is liberty, and thou only actest thus because thou art really free.' Great and weighty words, which might be pondered by many more than those to whom they were originally addressed.

Having established his fundamental principles, Ferrier goes on to trace the birth of self-consciousness in the child-the knowledge of itself as 'L' which means the knowledge of good and evil-the moral birth. Percention, again, is a synthesis of sensation and consciousnessthe realisation of self in conjunction with the sensation experienced: it is, of course, peculiar to man. Things can only take effect on 'me' when there is a 'me' to take effect upon, and not at birth, or before I come to consciousness. Consciousness is the very essence and origin of the av; without consciousness no man would be 'L' It is our refusal to be acted on by outside impressions that constitutes our personality and perception of them; our communication with the universe is the communication of ava-communication. And the go is not something which comes into the world ready-made; it is a living activity which is never passive, for were it passive, it would be annihilated; in submitting to the action of causality its life would be some. Our destiny is to free ourselves from the bonds of nature, from that 'blessed state of primeral innocence,' the blessedness, after all, of bondage. A man cannot de until be acte for his Below arises out of his actions: consciousness being an act, our proper existence is the consequence of that act. His natural condition for others, and before he comes to existence. Ferrier says, is given, while his existence for himself is made by his thinking himself. It is only in the latter case that he can attain to Liberty, instead of remaining bound by the bonds imposed upon him by Necessity. The three great moments of humanity are: first, the natural or given man in enslaved Being; second, the conscious man in action working into freedom against passion; third, the 'I': man as free, that is, real personal Being,

94 Philosophy has thus a great future before her. Instead of being a mere dead theory as heretofore, size becomes renovated into a new life when she gets her proper place; she is separated from her supposed connection with the physical world, and is recognised as consciousness. When this is so, she loses her merely theoretic aspect, and is identified with the living practical interests of mankind. The dead symbols become living realities, the dead twigs are clothed with verdure. 'Know thyself, and in knowing thyself thou shalt see that this self is not thy true self; but, in the very act of knowing this, thou shalt at once displace this false self, and establish thy true self in its room." And Ferrier goes on to trace the bearings of his theories in the moral and intellectual world. He finds in morality something more than a refined self-love; he finds the dawning will endeavouring to assert itself, to break free from the trammels imposed upon it by nature. Freedom, the great end of man, is contravened by the passive conditions of his nature;

these are therefore wrong, and every act of resistance tends to the accomplishment of the one important end. which is to procure his liberty. This essay, or series of essays, gives the keynote to Ferrier's thought and writings, therefore it seemed worth while to consider its assument in detail. The completeness of the break with the old philosophy is manifest. The 'scientific' methods aunlied to every region of knowledge were then in universal use, and no little

courage was required to challenge their pretensions as they were challenged by Ferrier. But in courage, as we know. Ferrier was never lacking. His mind once made up, he had no fear in making his opinions known. He considered that the Scottish Philosophy had become something very like materialism in the hands of Brown and others, and he believed that the whole point of view must be changed if a really spiritual philosophy was to take its place. There may be traces of the impetuosity of youth in this attack : much working out was undoubtedly required before it could be said that a system had been established. But all the same this essay is a brilliant niece of philosophic writing-instinct with life

and enthusiasm-one which must have made its readers feel that the dry bones of a dead system had wakened into life, and that what they had imagined an abstract and dismal science had become instinct with living practical interest-something to be 'lived' as well as

studied. The Institutes of Metaphysics-the work by which Ferrier's name will descend to posterity-is a development of the Philosophy of Consciousness; but it is more carefully reasoned out and systematised-the result of many vears of thoughtful labour. For several years before the work was published (in 1854) the propositions which are contained in it were developed in the course of Ferrier's

results lectures. The Institutes, on Theory of Knowing and Being, commences with a definition of philosophy as a 'body of reasoned truth,' and states that though there were plenty of dissertations on the subject in existence there was no philosophy itself-no scheme of demonstrated truth; and this, and not simply a 'contribution' to philosophy was what was now required, and what the writer proposed to give. The divisions into which he

separates Philosophy are : first, the Enistemology, or theory of knowledge; secondly, the Agnosology, or theory of ignorance; and thirdly, the Ontology, or theory of being. The fundamental question is, 'What is the one feature which is identical, invariable, and essential in all the varieties of our knowledge?'

The first condition of knowledge is that we should know ourselves, and reason gives certainty to this proposition which is not expable of demonstration, owing to its being itself the starting-point; the counter-proposition. asserting the separate subject and object of knowledge. and the mutual presence of the two without intelligence's being necessarily cognisant of itself, represents concra opinion, and the ordinary view of nonular psychology. Knowledge, then, Ferrier goes on, always has the self as an essential part of it; it is knowledge-in-union-withwhateverit appropends. The objective part of the object of knowledge, though distinguishable, is not really senarable from the subjective or ere; both constitute the unit of knowledge-on utterance thoroughly Hegelian in its character, however Ferrier may disclaim a connection with Hegel's system. In space they may be separated. but not in cognition, and this idealism does not for one moment deny the existence of 'external' things, but only says they can have no meming if out of relation to those which are 'internal'; as Hegel might have out it, they could be known as senamble by means of 'abstraction' only. From this point we are led on to the next statement, and a most important statement it is, that matter for or is of necessity absolutely unknowable; or to what Ferrier calls the Theory of Ignorance. Whether or not this theory can make good the title to originality which its author claims for it, there is no doubt that its statement in clear language, such as no one can fail to understand, marks an important era in English speculation. There are. Ferrier says, two sorts of so-called ignorance : one of these is incidental to some minds, but not to all —an ignorance of defect, he puts it—just as we might be said to be ignorant of a language we had never learned. But the other ignorance (not, properly speaking, ignorance at all) is incident to all intelligence by its very nature, and is no defect or imperfection. The law

cannes. Jour too Outer inglemence (not, propeny speaking, genomic est all) is incident to all 'intelligence by it were nature, and it so defect or imperfection. The law of ignorance hence is that "we can be ignorate only of what can be known," or 'the knowable is alone the ignomble." The bearing of this important point is sent at once when we turn back to the theory of knowing. Knowledge is something of which the subject summer skake himself free, 'I' was always, in whatever I apprehend, apprehend "ma." We don't apprehend "lime,"

head, apprehend "ma." We dorn't apprehend "blangs," this, but what is apprehended is "more prophendings," Things - plus - me is the only knowable, and conceptually the only "ignorable." This brings as a great very rowards the Abusinest Idealism seasonated makes with the name of "lings"—somets the words brings as a great very rowards the Abusinest Idealism seasonated makes with the name of "lings"—somets the words brings as a great very rowards brings as a great very large as a compensation of the one of the through being recognising and satisfaction between object of all likelilly—as recognising no distinction between object of all likelilly—as recognising no distinction between object of all likelilly—as recognising no distinction between object of the one of the object of t

 said to reduce all things to the phenomena of consciousness, it does the same to every nothing. What falls out of consciousness becomes incogitable; it lapses, not into nothing, but into what is contradictory. The material universe per se, and all its qualities per se, are not only absolutely unknowable, but absolutely unthinkable. We do indeed know substance, but only as object plus subject—as matter serves or in cognition as thought together with the self.

It may be true that we cannot claim for Ferrier complete originality in his thinking; work on very similar lines was being carried on elsewhere. It is not difficult to trace throughout his writings the mode of his development. The earlier works are evidently influenced by Fichte and his school, since the personal age and individual freedom figure as the principal conceptions in our knowledge; and even while the Scottish school of psychologists is being combated, the influence of Hamilton is very manifest. But as time goes on Ferrier's ideas become more concrete: the theory of consciousness becomes more absolute in its conception; the human or individual element is loss conspicuous as the universal element is more, which signifies that gradually he approaches closer to the standpoint of the later German thinkers by a careful study of their works, though for the most part it is Reid and Hamilton his criticisms have in view and not the corresponding work of Kant.

Still, we should say that Ferrier's attitude represented another phase in the same struggle against abstraction and towards unity in knowledge, rather than being a simple outcome of the German influence in Scotland. This last assumption he at least repudiated with energy, and holdly claimed to have developed and completed his

system for himself. He claimed to have worked on national lines; to have started from the philosophy of his country as it was currently accented, and to have little difficulty in proving from itself its absolute inadequacy. He felt that in his doctrine of the reslity of knowledge he had found the means of solving problems hitherto dark and obscure, and he used his instruments

bravely, and on the whole successfully,

The faith-philosophy which professed to know reality through the senses, when these senses were a part of the external universe, or signified taking for granted the matter in dispute, was utterly repugnant to Ferrier. The Unknowable of Sir William Hamilton was inconceivable to him, and he ever kept this theory and its carors in his mind, while developing a system of his own, It is better that a philosophic system should grow up thus, instead of coming to us from without in language hard to understand because of foreign idioms and unwonted modes of expression. To be of use, a philosouthy should speak the language of the people; until it becomes identified with ordinary ways of thinking, its influence is never really great; and the Idealism of Germany has in this country always suffered from being intelligible only to the few. Therefore we hold all

credit due to Ferrier for consistently refusing to adopt the nhraseology of a foreign country, and setting himself. heart and soul, to find expression for his thoughts in the language of his birth. Perrier introduces his Lectures on Greek Philosophy. the last subject on which he undertook to write, in a manner which reminds us of Hozel's remarkable Introduction to his History of Philosophy; he begins, like Herel, by pointing out that the study of philosophy is just the study of our own reason in its development, but that what is worked out in our minds hunriedly and within contacted limits, is in philosophy evolved at leisure, and seen in lay any proportions: the listense of philosophy has not never upon the contact of the deep reason of the limit of the limit of the contact of this own, full of peesen, vital interest, and there is nothing arbitrary or capitation in such a

history: all is reasoned thought as it manifests and reveals itself.

Philosophy, Ferrier defines, by calling it the pursuit of Treth—not relative Truth, but absolute, what necessarily exists for all minds alike; and man's faculties forestarvic what is generally supposed) are competent

(contary to what is generally supposed) are competent to attain to it, provided only that they have something in common with all other minds, i.e., are partakers in a universal intiligence. He works this out in his Introónction in an extremely interesting way, showing, as he does, how in all intelligence there must be a universal, a unity; that the vary essence of religion, for example, evers on the unity which constitutes the bond between

God and man, and that when this is denied, religion is made impossible. What then, we may ask, is the Truth that has to be pursued? It is that which is the real, the object of philosophy—

It is that which is the real, the object on pintospinythe real which exists for all intelligence. The historian of philosophy must show that philosophy in its history corresponds with this definition, if the definition be a

The lectures begin with Thales and the followers of the Ionic school, and Ferrier points out how, in spite of the material elements which are taken as a basis, their systems are philosophic, in so far as they aim at the establishment of a universal in all things, and carry with them the belief that this universal is the ultimately real; and this rives them an interest which from their sensuous forms we could hardly have expected to find. But it was Hemelitus' doctrine of Becoming that was most consenial to Forrier, as it was to his great predecessor Hegel. Being and Not-Being, the unity of contraries as essential sides of Truth, in such concentions as these Ferrier believes we come nearer to the truth of the universe than in the current views of ubilescoby, in which the unity of contrary eleterminations in one subject is regarded as impossible. Apart, either side is incompachensible, and bence Mr. Mansel and Sir William Hamilton argue the impotence of human reason; but if, as Ferrier believes, they are shown to be but moments or assential factors in concention, the antagonism will be

proved moreal-it will be an antagonism proper to the

nery life and essence of reason. Possibly in his account of the early Greek philosophers Perrier may have done what many historians of philosophy have done before him, he may have read into the systems which he has been describing much more than he was entitled so to read. He may, when he is talking of the Electics of Heraclitus, and even of Socrates and Plato, have had before his mind the special battle which he had chosen to fight-the battle against sensationalism in Scotland, against materialism in the form in which he found it-rather than fairly to set before his readers an exact and accurate account of the teaching of the particular philosopher of whom he writes. But has it ever been otherwise in any history of thought that was ever written, excepting perhans in some dryssdust compendium which none excepting those weighed down with dread

of examination questions, care to peruse? Thought reads itself from itself, and if it sometimes reads the present into the past, and thinks to see it there, is there matter for surprise, or is it to very far wrong? If it tells us something of the secrets it itself conceals, it is surely relibre us after all much of those that are gone.

For Plate, Ferrier naturally had a very great affinity; he deals with him at length, and evidently had made a special and careful study of his writings. But the same method is applied by him to Plate as was before applied to the other Greek philosophers. 'It is not so much by reading Plato as by studying our own minds that we can find out what ideas are, and perceive the significance of the theory which expounds them. It is only by verifying in our own consciousness the discoveries of antecedent philosophers that we can hope rightly to understand their doctrines or appreciate the value and importance of their speculations.' And so Ferrier proceeds to prove the necessity for the existence of 'ideas'-of universals -as the absolute truth and groundwork of whatever is. No intelligence can be intelligent excepting by their light, and they are the necessary laws or principles on which all Being and Knowing are dependent. 'All philosophy,' he says of Plato, 'speculative and practical, has been foreshadowed by his prophetic intelligence; often dimly, but always so attractively as to whet the curiosity and stimulate the ardour of those who have chosen him as a guide.' And it was as such that Ferrier marked him out and chose him as his own. With Aristotle he had probably less in common, and his treatment both of him and of the Stoics, Epicureans, and Neo-Platonists, with which the history ends, is less sympathetic in its tone and understanding in its style. But these lectures as a whole, though never put together for printing as a book, must always be of interest to the student of philosophy.

A philosophic article, entitled Review and Idealism and published in June of 1842, was designed to meet the attack of Mr. Samuel Bailey, who had written a Review of Berkeley's Theory of Vition, criticising the soundness of his views. Mr. Bailey replied, and Ferrier a year later published an article on that reply. Ferrier rightly appreciates the very important place which ought to be allowed to Berkeley as a factor in the development of philosophic truth-a place which has only been properly understood in later years. He saw the part he had played in bringing the real significance of Absolute Idealism into view, and deprecated the representation of his system made by David Hume, or the popular idea that Berkeley denied all reality to matter. What he did deny was the reality which is supposed to lie beyond experience, and his criticism in this woord was invaluable as a basis for a future system. In his own words, he did not wish to change things into ideas, but ideas into things: matter could not exist independently of mind. But yet Ferrier is perfectly aware that Berkeley did not entirely grasp the absolute standpoint that the thing is the appearance, and the appearance is the thing. Remarded merely as a literary production, this article is entitled to mak with the classics of philosophic writings both as repards the beauty of its style and its logical development. Ferrier does not often touch directly on questions of religion or theology, but there is an interesting passage in this essay which shows his views regarding the question of immortality. He is talking of the impossibility of our ever conceiving to ourselves the idea of our annihilation. Such an idea could not be rationally

etic

articulated. We oppose, indeed, to be alple; to but we only think we think it: real thought of this sense would involve our being already in thought we are and must be immortal, nothing to wait for; eternity is even now with time, with all its vexien troubles, is no more

It was something absolute and enduring Ferrier was ever on the search. Those of ductory Lectures which are preserved threat statement, if nothing else were left to do so. thought, is more than systems: 'As least' thinks, the light must burn.' Could be but young men who gathered round him day 193 think, he cared little as to what so - called they adopted. He put his arguments close! them, but they were free to criticism on the? And perhaps it was because they realised that to was more to him than personal fame that their for him was so great. He always kept before that in tenching any science the mental discipliit involves must not be overlooked. rule of disciplining the mind should run wiele? with the theoretical instruction, which might forgotten; the great effort of a teacher should the best and highest sense to advente his That is, he has not only to instil their min multifarious learning, but to make their thinking

And philosophy must, he tells us, be made, in if it is to be of any use: we must arrive at \$\epsilon\$ sophic consciousness,' and distinguish philosomere opinion. It is mind which is the permust immutable in all change and mutation; even the

found the idea of permanence in mind while they regarded change as the principle of matter.

Thus, when the end of the day had come, when the lamp gree ding, and the londer he lowed on such must be far the last time shar, Ferrier's teaching was not a green distribution of the last time share. The only real change was the face. The only real change was the last partial had been face, and the last time that the last time the last become markeral it the one more Union, more careful in expression, more considerate of the facilitys of his quantity, time the last more system, more careful or different time of the last constraint, more coordinated, insure in the gram. These was more to the off the systems were fine face and specific system more of the systems were fine face as an absolute system more time.

if the springer were to fine therem to hold its place in creary department of flig, are allowful experime most much that has not every yet here accomplished. Me for these wire came in constant when it has full form yet the constant of the constant of the constant which seemed to be weating owny before their eyes yet never being the form interest in work to he accomplished, must have tample a leaten some than spotters of philamphy decision can be found in history—even in history of long creation's say, as in every other-places of history of long creation's say, as in every other-places of worked-partment full the search for it supports the socker in his daily life, takes all its letterant from that is headed, from pass, whether gas even death.

CHAPTER VII

THE COLERIDOR PLACIARISM --- MESCELLANEOUS

This story of the so-called Colesidep Baginism is an old one now, but it is one which restrol much feeling at the time, and likewise one on which there is considerable division of opinion even in the present day. Into this controversy Ferrier plunged by writing a formidable indictment of Colesideps's position in Blackwest Magazale for Bando of 1840.

When Ferrier took up the cudgels the matter stood thus. In the earlier quarter of the century German Philosophy was coming or rather had already come, more or less into vogue in England; and as the German language was not largely read, and yet neonle were veguely interested, though in what they hardly knew, they welcomed an appreciative interpreter of that philosophy, and an original writer on similar lines. in one whose reputation was esteemed so highly as that of Coloridge, Coloridge in this matter, indeed occupied a position which was unique; for the treasures of German poetry and prose lad not as yet been fully opened up, and he was held to possess the means of doing this in a quite exceptional degree. The works of Schiller, Goethe, and the other poets came to the world-and to Coleridge with the rest-as a sort of

consistent. But the post in his own mind wer working in sot a philosopher—in find of one ranger men, specialising, somewhat vegetly it might be, on nutter a procession of transcendental import—and in Schelling he thought be laded discovered a kindred spirit; in his writings he lade discovered a kindred spirit; in his writings he lade on large laws and the fall that the work of the content of the spirit of the content of the spirit of the content of the part of the content of the part of the day of the content of the proager sense of the day, specially when set his more off the day, specially when set his more off picture of the day, specially when set his more off the day of the day o

Coleridge's Biographia Literaria, in which the principal so-called Schelling plagiarisms are contained, was published in 1817, but it was not for a considerable time after that that the plagiarisms were discovered, or at least taken notice of. The first serious indictment came from no less an authority than De Quincey, whose interest in philosophical matters was as great as Colevider's, and who published his views in an appreciative but gossipy article in Tait's Magazine of September 1834. To commence with, he took up the question of the 'Hymn to Chamouni'; but since, in this matter, Coleridge afterwards admitted his indebtedness to a German poetess, Frederica Brun, it does not seem an important one. Nor. indeed, does De Quincey pretend to take exception to certain expressions in Coleridge's 'France' which are evidently borrowed from Milton, or to regard them as indicating more than a peculiar omission of quotation marks. But the really serious matter, one for which De Quincey eannot

by any means account, is that in the Riographia Literaria there occurs a dissertation on the doctrine of Knowing and Being which is an exact translation from an essay written by Schelling. De Quincey cannot indeed explain away the mystery, but he makes the best of it, pleading excuses such as we often hear adduced in cases of 'kleptomonia' when they occur amonest the well-to-do, or so-called higher classes -- c.c. the evident fact that there was no percessity so to steal. no motive for stealing, even though the theft had evidently been committed. Still, though the defence may be ingenious and though we may go so far as to acknowledge that Coloridge land sufficient originality of mind to weave out theories of his own without borrowing from others, it must be confessed that under the approvated circumstances the ansument falls somewhat flat; and this was the impression made on many minds even at the time. The ball once set rolling, the dispute went on, and the next important incident was an article by Julius Hare in the Bellish Magazine of January 1835. This is a hot defence of the so-called "Christian" philosopher, who is said to be influencing the best and most promising young menof the day, as against the assuult of the 'Roselish Onlam-Eater' -- 'that ill-bading allias of avil record,' As to De Quincey's somewhat unkind but entertaining stories, there is some reason in Hare's objections, seeing that they were told of one to whom the writer owned himself indebted. But when Hare tackles the plantarisms themselves, and endeavours to defend them. his task is harder. Coloridge had indeed stated that his ideas were thought out and matured before he had seen a page of Schelling; but at the same time, in an

earlier portion of his work, he made a somewhat ambiguous reference to his indebtedness to the German philosopher, and deprecated his being accused of intentioned plagiarism from his writings. Of course it mny be said that a thief does not draw attention to the goods from which he has stolen, but yet even Hare acknowledges that it is hard to understand how half a dozen pages (we now know that it really ex-

ceeded thirty) should have been bodily transferred from one work to another, and suggests that the most probable solution is that Coloridge had a practice of keeping notebooks for his thoughts, mingled with extracts from what he had been reading at the time, and that he thus became confused between the two. At this point Ferrier steps in and takes the whole matter under review-a matter which he looked upon as serious (perhaps more serious than we should now consider it) from a national as well as an individual point of view. He held that the reputation of his country was at stake, as well as that of a single philosonhic thinker, and that neither De Ouincey nor Hare had some into the matter with sufficient care or know-

tedge, or ascertained how large it really was. It was undoubtedly the case that Coleridge's reputation in philosophic matters-and in these days that reputation was not small-one derived from what he had purlained from the writings of a German youth, and whatover the poet's claim on our regard on other scores may be, it was certainly due to Schelling that the debt should be acknowledged. As far as the Biographia Literaris is concerned, the facts are plain. Coloridge makes certain general acknowledgments of indebtedness to Schelling to begin with. He acknowledges that there may be found in his works an identity of thought or phrase with Schelling's, and allows him to he the founder of the philosophy of nature; but he claims at the same time the honour of making that philosophy intelligible to his fellow-countrymen, and even of thinking it out beforehand. Having said so much, there follow neess together-sometimes as many as six or cight on end-swhich are virtually conical averbation from Schelling, though with occasional interpolations of the so-called author here and there. Ferrier has examined the whole matter most minutely. and made a long list of the more flagrant cases of copying; thirty-one pages, he points out, are faithfully transcribed, partially or wholly, from Schelling's works alone, without allowing for what the author admits to be translated in part from a 'contemporary writer of the Continent.' And Schelling was not the only sufferer, nor was it only in the region of metaphysics that the thefts were made. The substratum of a whole chapter of the Biographia Literaria is, Ferrier discovered, taken from another author named Massz. and Coleridge's lecture 'On Poesy or Art' is closely copied and largely translated from Schelling's ' Discourse upon the Relations in which the Plastic Arts stand to Nature.' This was a blow indeed to those who had boasted of the profundity of Coleridge's views on art; but his poetry surely remained intact. But no, 'Verses exemplifying the Homeric Metre' are found to beunacknowledged-a translation from Schiller; and yet worse, because less likely to be discovered, the lines written 'To a Cataract' have the same metre, language. and thought as certain verses by Count von Stolberg.

which were shown to Ferrier by a friend.

The whole matter is a very strange one and not easy to explain. Of course the references to Schelling's labours In similar lines are there, and may in a sense disarm our criticism. But then, unfortunately, there also are the statements that the ideas had been matured in Coleridge's mind before he had seen a single line of Schelling's work, and he clearly gives us to understand that he had toiled out the system for himself, and that it was the 'offsuring of his own spirit.' It is this overmuch protesting that makes us, like Ferrier, disposed to take the darkest view of the affair; anything that can be said in Coloridae's defence is found in the manner in which it was taken by the one who had most right to feel nonrieved. In the life of Towett,1 recently published, there is an interesting account of Schelling's views on Coleridge. taken from a conversation, notes of which were made by the late Sir Alexander Grant, Ferrier's son-in-law, when still an undergraduate. Jowett, while at Berlin, had, it appears, seen Schelling, and talked to him of the plagiarisms. He took the matter, Jowett states, goodnaturedly, thought Coloridge to have been attacked unfairly, and even went so far as to assert that he had expressed many things better than he could have done himself --- certainly a very generous acknowledgment. Probably the most charitable construction we can put on Coloridge's act is that which I owett himself advances in saving that the poet is not to be looked upon or judged as an ordinary man would be, seeing that often enough he hardly could be said to have been remonsible for his actions: while his quotism, which was extreme, may have likewise led him-it may be almost unconsciously-into acts of doubtful honesty. But evidently,

¹ Life of Benjamin Jonett, vol. i. pp. 98 and 145.

112 FAMOUS SCOTS in spite of Ferrier's work. Towett, and possibly even Schelling himself, had no idea of the extent to which the plastarisms extended. There would, of course, have been comparatively little harm in Coleridge's action had he been content to borrow materials which he was about to work up in his own way, or to do what his binerapher Gillman says is done by the 'bee which flies from flower to flower in quest of food," but which 'directs and elaborates' that food by its native power. Unfortunately, the more we read Coleridge's philosophic writings, the more we feel constrained to agree with Ferrier that the matter is not digested as Gillman suggests, but taken possession of in its ready-made condition. The narts which he adds do not assist in throwing light on what precedes, but are evidently padding of a somewhat commonplace and superficial kind. We can only say, like Jowett, that the manner of his life may have injured Coleridge's moral sense, and that his desire to pose as a

own unchallenged. A labour of low with Fentier, on very different lines than the above, was to bring out in five volume that the above, to be bring out in five volumes the state of the first state most a considerable amount of work to the editor for some one first state of the f

philosopher who should yet be a so-called 'Christian' may have led him to encroach upon the spheres of others, instead of keeping to those in which he could hold his

In 1817 the Whigs ruled in matters literary, mainly through the instrumentality of the Edinburgh Review, then in its hevday of fame. A reaction, however, set in, and the change was inaugurated by the publication of the so-called 'Chaldee MS.,' a wild extravaganta, or jeu d'estrit, bitting off the faibles of Whiggism, under the guise of an allogory describing the origin and rise of Blackwood's Magazine, the rival which had risen up in opposition to the Review, and the discomfiture of another journal carried on under the auspices of Constable. It was in the sevently number of Biackwood that the satire appeared—that is, the first number of Blackwood's Edinburrh Marasine as distinguished from the Edinburgh Monthly Marazine published from Blackwood's office to begin with, but on comparatively mild and inoffensive lines. One may imagine the effect of this Tory outburst on the society of Edinburgh. All the literati of the town were involved: Sir Walter Scott himself, Mackensie, Sir David Brewster, Sir William Hamilton, Professor Jamieson, Tytler, Playfair, and many others, some of whom emerged but seldom from the retirement of private life. Nowadays it would be difficult, if not impossible, to identify the different characters, were it not for the assistance of Professor Fertier's marginal notes: but in those days they were no doubt recognisable enough. Of course the magazine went like wildfire: but the ludiceous description in semi-biblical language of individuals with absurd allegorical appendages, constituted, as Ferrier acknowledges, an offence against propriety which could not be defended, even though no real malevalence might be signified. Whether Ferrier was instifted in republishing the Nodes, in so far as

they could be identified with Wilson, has been disputed; but, as the publisher, Major Blackwood, points out, the time was past for anyone to be hunt by the personalities which they considered, and the only harm the republication could inflict was upon the Morter themselves. The conception of the Chalded Manuscript, I het till up was in the first part due to Hogg; and Wilson was to the first part due to Hogg; and Wilson and the heart of the last. There is a tradition, too, though Ferrides of the last. There is a tradition, too, though Ferrides or

is a trausion, too, mough Ferner does not mention it.

Whiton's house (33 Queen Street) where the skit was said to have been connocted, and that he even contributed to it a verse. This may have been the case, as Wilson and Lockhatt were this initiate firedust; but it seems strange to think of so thoroughgoing a Whigh being found mixed up in such a plot, and with season of the case, as well as the property of the case of the case, as well as the contribution of the case of the case

Though it is easy to understand that Ferrier felt the editing of his father-in-law and uncle's work was a duty which it was incumbent upon him to perform, one cannot help surmising that it may have been a less congenial task to him than many others. There was little in common between the two men, both distinguished in their way, and Wilson's humour and poetic fancy, however bright and vivid, was not of the sort that would appeal most to Ferrier. A few years before his death Ferrier gave up the project he had in view of writing Wilson's life, partly in despair of setting forth his talents as he felt they should be set forth, and partly from the lack of material to work from. He says, in a letter written at the time. 'It would do no good to talk in general terms of his wonderful powers, of his genius being greater (as in some sense it was) than that of any of his contemporaries-greater, too, than any of his publications show. The public would require other evidences of this beyond one's more word—something might have been done had some of us Bowelford him judiciously, but this having been emitted, I do not see how it is possible to do him justice. The brok was eventually undertaken, and successfully accomplished, by Wilson's daughter, Mrs. Gordon.

tunes so early as 4830 he published a translation of

daughter, Mrs. Gordon.
We have susten of Ferrier's interest in German litera-

Heira et Alisais by Lucking Teck, one of the innex circle of the scarcell flowmant Schollu to belief the Schlighed and Movelia also belonged—the actiond widely opinion and Moving and Schollus of the Schlighed and Moving and Schollus of the Schlighed and with the schollus of the schollus of the innex contained the scholle of the innex contained schollus of the innex contained school of the inne

incincip extraction to Literature of Antiques of real in analysis incinciple with the probabilistic in 18,50. Where we can that Kirole had made an special study of the writings of Schiller and Goodle, and that this work tast these much appeared both by Lipton and the Quincoy. In this article the writer that the work tast the many that the probabilistic properties of the probabilistic probabi

other light than as a makeshift. But then things were different, and it was possible that wrong impressions of the original might be conveyed by inadequate translations. Ferrier's point was that Goethe, while writing in rhyme

the original might be conveyed by insdequate translations. Ferrier's point war that Geethe, while withing in thyme and in exquisitely poetical language, managed at the amen time to find words such a might really be used by ordinary morrals; but the translators, in endocurously rightly enough to keep to the thyming form, entirely fail in their endeavour after the same end. He considers that though in poone we may deviate from the ordinary.

in their endeavour after the same end. He considers that though in pose we may devide from the ordinary proprieties of language, we may not do so in rhyming poperty for bought the pose has to describe the bought and passion of real men in the language of real life, his dialect must at the same time be used out of the category of ordinary discourse because of the use of passible to reservoir this kee, and exceeding the passible to reservoir this kee, and exceeding the passible to reservoir this kee, and exceeding us to the pseclarity of this style by the simplicity of his language; otherwise all lifesions will be at an ed. Rhymes brought in

together by force can succeed in giving us in a pleasure; it the writer included possess the power of mastering it is material and compelling it is serve his ends.

Ferrier's specialtive instructs anaturally not him to the power of the pow

could look tiring about the following considerations depended in home property of the country o bitterly confess, that includence in an abstract reflective thinking (whatever effect it may have ultimately upon their nobler genius, supposing them to have one) in the meantime absolutely kills, or amears to kill, all the minor faculties of the soul -all the lesser cenial powers, upon the exercise of which the greater part of human happiness depends. They would own, not without remorse, that more speculation -that is, knowledge pursued for #wf/ alone-bus often been tasted by them to be, as Coloridge elsewhere says, the bitterest and rottenest wort of the core of the fruit of the farbidden tree.' This seems a strange confession for a thinker reputed so abstract as Ferrier, but of course the truth of what he says is evident. Knowledge regarded as an end in itself might have brought Faust into his troubles, it is true, and he might likewise have found himself ready to rush into what he conceives to be the opposite extreme; but a greater philosopher than Ferrier has said that though 'knowledge brought about the Pall, it also contains the urinciple of Redemption, and we take this to signify that we must look at knowledge as a necessary element in the culture and education of an individual or a people, which, though it carries trouble in its unke, does not leave us in our distress, but brings alone with it the principle of healing, or is the 'healer of itself.

Soon after the above, Ferrier contributes to the same journal an article entitled "The Tube-Tutle of a Philosopher," or an account of the "Journey through Life' of Professor Krug of Leipoig. Krug appears to have been a sort of Admitable Crietton amongst philosophicus, to whom no subject came amist, and who was ready to take his part in every sort of philosophical discussion. By Hegel and the idealist school he is nonewhat contempttoosity referred to as one of that class of writers of whom it is said 'the sout batter for flows pour lits de greads hommet.' Anyhow, his recollections are at least manning, if not philosophically edifying.

A review of the poems of Coventry Patmone a few years later is a very different production. It carries us back to the old days of Blackwood, when calm judgment was not so much an object as strength of expression, withering criticism, and biting success. Ferrier no doubt believed it would be well for literature to turn back to the old days of the knost; but few, we fancy, will ageed with him, even if they suffer for so differing by permitting certain trashy publications to see the light. Too often, enfortunately, the knowt, when it is applied, arrives on shoulders that are innocent. Of course Ferrier believed that the worst prognostications of a quarter of a century before were now being realised by the application not being persevered in; but as to this particular piece of criticism, whatever our opinion of Patmore's mostic powers may be, surely the writer was unreasonably severe; surely the work does not deserve to be dealt with in such unmeasured terms of opprobrium. It is refreshing to turn to an approxiative, if also somewhat critical review of the poems of Elizabeth Barrett, published in the same year, 1844, part of which has been republished in the Remains. In this article Ferrier urges once more the point on which he continually insists—the adoption of a direct simplicity of style : one which goes straight to the point, or, as he puts it, which is felt to 'get through business.' Executing certain criticism on the score of style and phrascology, however, Ferrier is all praise of the high degree of poetic merit which the writings recl - merit which he must have been amongst the to discover and make known.

so last of Ferrier's work for the magnaine in which nd so often written, was a series of articles on the Readings from Shakespeare, published in 1843.

to articles were in the main a criticism of Mr. Pavne ier's ' Notes and Emendations' to the Text of Shakeyets 'Plays' from early MS, corrections which he had

overed in a copy of the folio 1632. Ferrier, who was prough Shakespeare student, and whose approximation shakespeare is often spoken of by those who know

, had no faith in the authenticity of the new readings, uch he thinks they have a certain interest as matter surjosity. He goes through the plays and the alterns made in them seriation, and comes to the conclu-

that in most cases they have little value. In fact, he excels no far as to say that they have opened his eyes a death of purity and correctness in the received text Shakespeare of which he had no suspicion-a satis-

ory conclusion to the ordinary reader. sociales his work for Blackwood, Ferrier was in the sit of contributing articles to the Imperial Dictionary

Universal Biography on the various philosophers. o of these, the biographies of Schelling and Hegel, are steel in the Remains, but besides these he wrote on am Smith, Swift, Schiller, etc., and occasionally utilised articles in his lectures.

On yet another line Ferrier wrote a pamphlet in 1848, itled Observations on Church and State, suggested by 3 Duke of Argyll's creax on the Reciesiastical History Soutland. This pamphlet aims at proving that the sembly of the Church is really, as the Duke argues,

t merely an ecclesiastical, but a national council, or,

as Veries (seem it, the 'account and junior of the Sociality (Insign therefore was constituted to the control of the property of the seem of the friends of Parliament. I flough therefore seemed to make other cardidy power, it was justiced in opening the description of the Control of the Con

In St. Andrews there was no social meeting at which Ferrier was not a welcome guest. When popular lectures, then coming into vague, were instituted in the town, Ferrier was called upon to deliver one of the series, the subject chosen being 'Our Contemporary Poetical Literature. He says in a letter: I am in perfect agony in quest of something to say about "Our Contemporary Poets * in the Town Hall here on Friday. I must many up something, being committed like an ass to that subject, but devil a thing will come. I wish Aytom would come over and plead their cause.' However, in spite of fours, the locture appears to have been a sucress; it was an elequent appeal on behalf of poetry as an invaluable educational factor and agent in carrying forward the work of human civilisation, and an appreciation of the work of Tennyson, Macaulay, Aytonn, and Lytton. In the same year, but a few months later, Ferrier was asked to deliver the opening address of the Edinburgh Philosophical Institution. This Institution has for long been the means of bringing celebrities from

IAMES FREDERICK FERRIER

all parts of the country to lecture before an Edinburgh audience, and its origin and conception was largely due to Professor Wilson, Ferrier's father-in-law, who was in the habit of opening the session with an introductory

121

address. His health no longer permitting this to be done, the directors requested Ferrier to take his place. The address was on norely general topics, dealing mainly with the objects of the Institution, then somewhat of a poyelty. He concluded: 'Labour is the lot of man. No pleasure can surness the satisfaction which a man feels in the efficient discharge of the active duties of his calling. But it is equally true that every professional occupation, from the highest to the lowest, requires to be counterpoised and alleviated by pursuits of a more liberal

order then itself. Without these the best faculties of our souls must sink down into an ignoble torpor, and human intercourse be shown of its highest enjoyments, and its brightest blessings.' This is characteristic of Ferrier's view of life. One-sidedness was his particular abhorrence, and if he could in any measure impress its evil upon those whose daily business was apt to engross their attention, to the detriment of the higher subcres of Hinking, he was glad at least to make the attempt.

PROPESSORIAL LIPE THE St. Andrews University has the reputation of being given to strife, and never being thoroughly at rest miless

it has at least one law-plea in operation before the Court of Session in Edinburgh, or an appeal before the Hoose of Lords in London. In a small town, and more especially in a small University town, there is of course unlimited opportunity for discussing every matter of interest, and battles are fought and won before our very doors-battles often just as interesting as those of the great world outside, and more engressing because in them we probably play the part of active participators. instead of being simple spectators from outside. Of this time Sheriff Smith, however, writes: 'Never was the University set more social, and less given to strife than in Ferrier's day. Grander feats I have often seen elsewhere, but brighter or more intellectual talk, ranging from the playful to the profound, never have I heard anywhere." In this respect it contrasts with the more self-conscious and less natural social gatherings of the neighbouring city of Edinburgh, whose stiffness and formality was unknown to the smaller town. The comnany, without passing beyond University bounds, was excellent. There was Tulloch at St. Mary's, still a young man at his prime, and a warm friend of Ferrier's in spite of the traditional decree that St. Mary's dealines

122

with the other College should be as few as might be: there was Shairp, afterwards Professor of Poetry in Oxford, and always a delightful and insulring companion : in the Chair of Logic there was Professor Spalding, whose ill-health alone prevented him from sharing largely in the social life; and he was succeeded by Professor Veitch, afterwards of Glosgow, whose appreciation of Ferrier was keen, and with whom Ferrier had so much intercourse of a mutually enjoyable sort. Then there was

Professor Sellar, a staunch friend and tree, and likewise Sir David Browster, the veteran man of science, whom Scotland delights to honour. When Bruwster resigned the Principalship of the United College in 1859, Ferrier was present to become a candidate for the post and Browster bioself promised his appear, and preed Ferrier's claims; but there were difficulties in the way, and his place was filled by another follower of science, Ferrier's students are now, of course, dispersed abroad far and wide. One of their number, Sheriff Campbell Smith of Dundee, writes of them as follows:-- 'His old students are senttered everywhere-through all countries, professions, and climates. To many of them the world of faith and action has become more narrow and less ideal than it seemed when they sat listening to his lofty and element speculations in the little old classroom among carnest young faces that are no longer young,

and nearly all mown disa to memory; but to noue of them can there be any feeling regarding him alien to respect and affection, while to many there will remain the conviction that he was for them and their experience the first improporation of living literature, whose lectures,

set off by his thrilling voice, slight interesting burr, and solemn pauses, and holding in solution profound original thought and subtle critical suggestions, were a sort of revelation, opening up new worlds, and shedding a flood of new light upon the old familiar world of thought and knowledge in which genius alone could see and disclose wonders.' And this sometime student tells how in passages from the standard poets undetected meanings were discovered, and new light was thrown upon the subject of his talk by quotations from the classics, from Milton and Byron as well as from his favourite Horace, His eloquence, he tells us, might not be so strong and overwhelming as that of Chalmers, but it was more fine, subtle, and poetical in its affinities, revealing thought more splendid and transcendental. 'In person and manner Professor Ferrier was the very ideal of a Professor and a gentleman. Nature had made him in the body what he strove after in spirit. His features were east in the finest classic mould, and were faultlessly perfect, as was also his tall thin person,-from the finely formed head, thickly covered with black hair, which the last ten years turned into iron-grey, to the noticeably handsome foot. . . . A human being less under the influence of low or selfish motives could not be conceived in this mercenssy anti-ideal age. If he made mistakes, they were due to his living in an ideal world, and not to either malice or guile, both of which were entirely foreign to his nature."1 And yet there was nothing of the Puritan about the Professor's nature. There are celebrations in St. Andrews in commemoration of a certain damsel, Kate Kennedy by name, which are characterised by demonstrations of a somewhat noisy order.

¹ Writings by the Way, by John Campbell Smith, p. 357 sep.

120 Some of the Professors denomined this institution and demanded its abolition. But Ferrier had too much sense of humour to do this; he did not rebuke the lads for the exuberance of their spirits, but by his calm dignity contrived to keep them within due bounds.

A picture of Ferrier was painted about a year before his death by Sir John Watson Gordon, and it may still

be seen in the University Hall beside the other men of learning who have adorned their University. It was painted for his friends and former students, but though a fairly accurate likeness, it is said not to have conveyed to others the keen intellectual look so characteristic of the face. It was the nameless charm-charm of manner and personality-that drew Ferrier's students so forcibly towards him. As his colleague, Principal Tulloch, said in a lecture after his death: "There was a buoyant and

graceful obsern in all be did - a perfect sympathy. cordiality, and frankness which won the hearts of his students as of all who sought his intellectual companionship. Maintaining the dignity of his position with easy indifference, he could descend to the most free and affectionate intercourse: make his students as it were parties with him in his discussions, and, while guiding them with a moster band, awaken at the same time their own activities of thought as fellow-workers with himself. There was nothing. I am sure, more valuable in his teaching than this-nothing for which his students will longer remember it with systitude. No man could be more free from the small vanity of making disciples. He loved speculation too dearly for itself-he prized too highly the sacred right of reason, to wish any man or any student merely to adopt his system or repeat his thought. Not to manufacture thought for others, but to excite thought in others; to stimulate the powers of inquiry, and base all the higher functioner of the intellect, was his great aim. He might be comparatively embeds, therefore, of the small process of viliding, and minute labour of currention. These, indeed, he proxyly thanked in their our piece. But he feld that his strength hay in a different direction—in the intellectual impoles which his own thinking, in its life, its zoahous and clear open cambour, was equable of imparring?

Ferrier was not, perhaps, naturally endowed with any special expacity for business, but the business that fell to him as a member of the Senatus Academicus was performed with the greatest care and zeal. With the movement for women's University education, which has always been to the front in St. Andrews, he was sympathetic, although it was not a matter in which he played any special part. 'No one,' it was said, 'had clearer perexptions or a cooler and fairer judgment in any matter which seemed to him of importance. Principal Tulloch tells how on one occasion in particular, where the interests of the University were at stake, his clear sense and vigilance carried it through its troubles. His lovalty to St. Andrews at all times was indeed unquestioned. It is possible that had be made it his endeavour to devote more interest to practical affairs outside the University limits, it might have been better for himself. There may, perhaps, he truth in the saving that metaphysics is and to have an enervating effect upon the moral senses. or at least upon the practical activities, and to take from men's usefulness in the ordinary affairs of life; but one can hardly realise Ferrier other than he was, a student whose whole interests were devoted to the philosophy he had espoused, and who loved to deal with the funds-

IAMES ERROPRICK FERRIER

mental questions that remained beneath all action and all thought, rather than with those more concrete; and the former lay in a region purely speculative. Such as he was, he never failed to preserve the most perfect order

in his class, and to do what was required of him with praiseworthy accuracy and minute attention to details. 'Life in his study,' says Principal Tulloch, 'was Professor Ferrier's characteristic life. There have been, I daresay, even in our time, harder students than he was : but there could scarpely be anyone who was more habitually a student, who lived more amonest books, and took more special and constant delight in intercourse with them. In his very extensive but choice

library he knew every book by head-mark, as he would say, and could lay his hands upon the desired volume at once. It was a great pleasure to him to bring to the light from an obscure comer some comparatively unknown English speculator of whom the University library knew nothing,3 We are often told how he would be found seated in his library clad in a long dressing-gown which clung round his tall form, and making him look even taller-a typical philosopher, though perhaps handsomer than

many of his craft. 'My father rarely went from home,' writes his daughter, 'and when not in the College classroom was to be found in his snug, well-stocked, illwith him a small silver souff-hox."

bound library, writing or reading, clad in a very becoming dark blue dressing gown. He was no smoker, but carried Professor Shairp says that now and then he used to go to hear him lecture. 'I never saw anything better than his manner towards his students. There was in it case, vet dienity so respectful both to them and to himself that no one could think of preauming with him. Yet it was unusually kindly, and full of a playful bussous which greatly attached them to him. No one could be further removed from either the Don or the Beriphinstian. But his hold of locan intellect and high bacoding, combode with greatlesses and feeling for his students, communded attention more than my discipline could have done. In matters of Callega discipline, which he was

manded attention more than any discipline could have done. In matters of College discipline, while he was fair and just, he always leant to the forbearing side. . . . Till his illness took a more serious form, he was to be met at dinner-parties, to which his society always gave a great charm. In general society his conversation was full of humour and playful jokes, and he had a quick yet kindly eye to note the extravagances and absurdities of men. And the Professor goes on to narrate how on a winter afternoon he would full to talking of Horace, an especial favourite of his, and how then he would read the racy and unconventional translation he had made up for amusement. And afterwards he would talk of Wordsworth and the feelings he awoke in him, showing 'a richness of literary knowledge, and a delicacy and keenness of appreciation, of which his philosophical

especial feverine of his, and how then he would read the ray and unconvenient transition to held made up the summer of the kenness of appreciation, of which his philosophical held the summer of the summer of the summer of the summer of kenness of appreciation, of which his philosophical feature is never attributed his summer of the feature is never attributed his summer of the summer of the

more fully.

Another former student, who has been quoted before, writes in his Recollections of student life at St.

JAMES FREDERICK FERRIER

Andrews: 1 'Ferrier land not Stallding's thorough method of teaching. He had no regular time for receiving and correcting essays; he lad only one written examination for onal examination he had an easy way, in which the questions suggested the answers; yet all these drawbacks were atoned for by his living presence. It was an embodiment of literary and philosophical enthusiasm, happily blended with sympathy and urbanity. It did the work of the most thorough class drill, for it arrested the attention, opened the mind, and filled it with love of learning and wisdom. Intellect and humanity seemed to radiate from his countenance like light and heat, and illumined and fascinated all on whom they fell. . . . Let me recall him as he appeared in the spring of 1854. The eleven-n'clock hell has rung. All the other classes have gone in to lecture. We, the students of Moral Philosophy, are lingering in the quadrangle, for the Professor, nunctual in his unpunctuality, comes in regularly two or three minutes after the hour. Through the archway under the time-honoured steenle of St. Salvator's he approaches-a tall somewhat emaciated figure, with intellectual and benevolent countenance. As he harries in we follow and take our seats. In a minute he issues gowned from his antercom, sexts him-

self in his chair, and places his silver snuff-box before him. Now that he is without his hat and in his gown, he has a striking appearance. His head is large, welldeveloped, and covered with thick fron-grey hair; his features are regular, his mouth is refused and sensitive. his chin is strong, and his eyes as seen behind his spectacles are keenly intelligent and at the same time 1 Pleasant Recallections of a Bury Life, by David Psyde, LL.D., p. 59benevolent. He begins by calling up a student to be orally examined; and the catechistan mes on very much in the following style:---

(" Professor,---Well, Mr. Brown, answer a few ones-

tions, if you please. What is the first proposition of the on Student repeats it.

on Professor. - Quite right, Mr. Brown. And, Mr.

Brown, is this quite true? on Stud.--- Ves. : " Prof .- Quite right, Mr. Brown. At least, so I think.

And, Mr. Brown, is it not absurd to hold the reverse? in Stud .- Yes.

" Prof.-Yes, yes. Thank you, Mr. Brown. That

will do." 'The Professor then begins his lecture. As long as he is stating and proving the propositions in his metaphysical system, his tone is simple and matter-of-fact. His great aim is to make his meaning plain, and for that numose he often expresses an important idea in various

ways, using synonyms, and sometimes reading a sentence twice. But when he comes to illustrate his thoughts, his manner changes. He lets loose his fancy, his imagination, and even his humour; and his whole soul comes into his voice. His burr, scarcely distinmishable in his ordinary speech, now becomes strong, and his whole atterance is slow, intense, and fervid. He is particularly happy in his quotations from the poets, and he has a poculiarity in reading them which increases the effect. When rolling forth a line he sometimes names before he comes to the end, as if to collect his strength, and then attess the last word or words with redoubled emplusis. The effect of his omence on the students is electrical. They cease to take notes; every head is raised; every face because with delight; and at the end of a passage their feelings find vent in a thunderstorm of anniance.

thunderstorm of applaume.

"The two most remarkable features of his lectures were
their method and eleanness. Order and light were the
very elements in which his mind lived and moved. He
kept this call in view, three solids the facts that were
numerossary, arranged the facts that were necessary, and
carnessed them with a precision about this there enable

unnocessary, arranged the facts that were necessary, and expressed them with a precision about which there could be no ambiguity. In fact, each idea and the whole claim of ideas were visible by their own light. So perspicators were the words that they might have been called crystallised thoughts.

Out of the classroom Ferrier was equally pollte and lind, especially to those students who showed a low end an a capacity for philosophy. It was no uncommon thing for his to stoy a student in the steet and nivels him to the house to have a talk about the work of the class. I have a distant recollection of my fixel wide to his study; I see him yet, with his noble, benignant comcenance, as he roseds and discusses paranged in my fixel connect, so he could not discusse strategies and the seasy, gravely reasoning with me on the points that were necessary, provedy the property of the class of the class of the class of the property of the class seasy, gravely reasoning with me on the points that were necessariled. In addition, the class of t

rhetorical, and smilling good -naturedly at those that attacked in on necessared language his own system?— Professor Ferrier was never failing in hespitality to his students as to his other friends. Dr. Pryde goes on: "Every year Ferrier invited the best of his students to dinner. At the dinner at which I was present there were two fits follow-nordessors, Sellar and Fischer. It

*Bivery year Perrier invited the next of his students to dimer. At the dimer a which I was present there were two of his fellow-professors, Sellar and Fischer. It was a great trust for a youth like nec. Mrs. Ferrier was effervescent with animal spirits and talk; Ferrier limself, looking like a nobleman in his old-fashioned dress-cost with gold buttons, interposed occasionally with his subtle touches of wit and humour.' The Professor appears to have been an inveterate snuffer. students used to tell how the silver snuff-box was made the medium of explaining the Berkeleian system, and how to their minds the system, fairly clear in words, became a hopeless tangle when the assistance of the snuff-hox was resorted to. And Dr. Pryde narrates how he used to see Professor Spalding and Professor Ferrier scated side by side in the students' benches, looking on the same book, listening to their young colleague Professor Sellar's inspiring lectures, and at intervals exchanging snuff-boxes. He gives the following account of his last visit to Ferrier, when he was on his deathbed, but still in his library among his books: 'He told me that his disease was mortal; but face to face with death he was cheerful and contented, and had bated not one jot of his interest in learning and in public events. He was very anxious that I should take lunch with Mrs. Ferrier and the rest of the family; and though he could not join us, he sent into the diningroom a special bottle of wine as a substitute for himself.

Two months afterwards he had pasted away." Talloch writes after the said event had occurred: 1 'I have, of course, heard the said news from St. Andrews. What saidness it has been to me I cannot tell you. St. Andrews never can be the same pale of whother Ferrier. Odd know what is to become of the U. When the Conditions what is to become of the U. When the Conditions what is to become of the U. When the Conditions when the Conditions when the Conditions when the Conditions are considered; "The removal of that delicate and clear spirit and the Condition of the Con

1 Menseir, p. 196, by Mrs. Oliphant,

from a life speciely in which it is position were or interest, and the instance, and the instance of declinement of their persected and basedwist in influence, was a love almost inducerially. In the control of the first of the control of

make a visit to London, although this did not seem to have been by any means a frequent occurrence. Businote be must personally have had there, for in 1861 be was appointed to examine in the London University, and in 1863, shortly before his death, the Society of Arts offered him an examinership in Logic and Mental Science, in place of the late Archbishop of York, which he accented. But of one visit which he said in 1848. with Principal Tolloch as joint delegate from the University of St. Andrews, Mrs. Oliphant gives an amusing account, in her Memoir of Principal Tullock.1 The object of the deputation was to watch the progress of the University Bill through the House of Commons. This Bill was one of the earliest efforts after regulating the studies, degrees, etc., of the Scottish Universities, and also dealt with an increase in the Parliamentary grant which, if it passed, would considerably affect the 1 P. 127.

Profussors' incomes as well as the resources of the University. The Bill, which was under the change of Lord Advocate Inelis (afterwards Lord Justice-General of Scotland), likewise provided that in each University a University Court should be established, as also a University Council composed of graduates. Ferrier and Tollock no doubt did their part in the business which they had in band; they visited all the Members of Parliament who were likely to be interested, as other Scottish deputations have done before and since, and received the same exasive and varying replies. But in the evenings, and when they were free, they enter tained themselves in different fashion. First of all, they have hardly arrived after their long night's issumey's travel before they burst upon the "trim and well-ordered room where Mr. John Blackwood and his wife were scated at breakfast'-this evidently at Ferrier's instigation. Then, having settled in Duke Street, St. James's, they are asked, rather inappropriately, it would seem, to a ball, where they were 'canally impressed by the size of the crinoline and the absence of beauty.' Next Cremorae was visited. Tulloch declaring that his object was to take care of his communion. "If you had seen Ferrier as he gazed frac him with the half-amused, halfsenwhing expression he not unfrequently assumes, looking bored, and yet with a varue philosophical interest at the wonderful expanse of pay dresses and fresh womanbood ground bim ? 'He will go powhere without a cub; today for the first time I not him into an omnibus in search of an Aberdeen Professor, a wild and wandering distance which we thought we never should reach." The theory was visited, too: Lear was being played, were unwibly by Charles Kenn. In the Royal Academy, Brith's Derby Day was the attraction of the year. But quite remarkable was the interest which Ferrier-who did not appreciate in general 'going to church,' and used to say he preferred to sit and listen to the faint sounds of

the corns from the quiet of his room-betwyed in the cloquence of Spurgeon, then at the height of his fame and attracting enormous congregations round him in the Surrey Garden Theatre. Tulloch wrote to his wife:

We have just been to hear Spurgeon, and have been both so much impressed that I write to give you my impressions while they are fresh. As we came out we both confessed, "There is no doubt about that," and I was struck with Ferrier's remarkable expression, "I feel it would do me good to hear the like of that, it sat so

close to reality." The sermon is about the most real thing I have come in contact with for a long time." The building was large and airy, with window-doors from which you could walk into the gardens beyond, and Forrier, Tullock writes now and then took a turn in the forch air outside while the sermon was progressing. After London, Oxford was visited, and here the friends lived at Balliol with Mr. Jowett, who had not yet become the Master. Ferrier would doubtless delight in showing to his friend the beauties of the place with which he had so many memories, but to attend eight-o'clock

chapet with Tulloch was, the latter tells us, beyond the limits of his zeal. Tust before this, in 1857, another visit was paid by Perrier to Oxford with his family, and this time to visit Lady Grant, the mother of his future son-in-law. It was at Commemoration-time, we are told, and a ball was given in honour of the party. On this occasion Ferrier for the first time met Professor Jowett, besides many other kindred spirits, and he thoroughly enjoyed transfering about the old haunts at Masslaten. where in his youth he had pelted the deer and played

the part of a young and thoughtless gownsman.

A little book was published some years ago, on behoof of the St. Andrews Students' Union, entitled Streams Universitatis, in which former students and alumni piously record their recollections of their Alma Mater. Some of these sames bring before us very vividly the sort of impression which the life left muon the lads, drawn together from all manner of home surroundings, and equally influenced by the memories of the past and the living presence of those who were the means of opening up now tracts of knowledge to their view. One of them, already often quoted, says in a paper called 'The Light of Long Ago ': 'I always sink into the conviction that the St. Andrews United College was never so well worth attending as during the days when in its classrooms Duncan taught Mathematics, Sculding taught Logic, and Ferrier taught Metaphysics and Moral Scionce, illustrating living literature in his literary style. and in the strange tones, pauses, and inflections of his voice. To the field of literature and speculation Ferries restored glimpses of the sunshine of Paradise. Under his magical spell they expeed to look like fields that lord been cursed with weeds, watered with sweat and fears. and levelical and planted with untold labour. Every utterance of his tended alike to disclose the beauty and penetrate the mystery of existence. He was a persevering philosopher, but he was also a poet by a gift of nature. The burden of this most mintelligible world did not oppress him, nor any other burden. Intellectual action proving the riddles of regson was a joy to him. He loved philosophy and poetry for their own sake, and

he infected others with a kindred, but not an equal, passion. He could jest and laugh and play. If he ever discovered that much study is a weariness of the flesh.

interesting and inspiriting that I over listened to either at Oxford or St. Andrews. I looked on Mr. Ferrier with a kind of mosterious reverence, as on the last of the golden chain of great philosophers. There was, I know not what of dimity, of humour and of wisdom in his face: there was an air of the student the wannisher of difficulties, the discoverer of hidden knowledge, in him that I have seen in no other. His method at that time was to lecture on the History of Philosophy, and his manner was so persuasive that one believed firmly in the tenets of each school he described, till he advanced those of the next ! Thus the whole historical evolution of thought went on in the mind of each of his listeners."

he most effectually concealed that discovery." And to conclude, we have the testimony of another former student who is now distinguished in the fields of literature, but who always remains faithful to his home of early days. Mr. Andrew Lang says: 'Professor Ferrier's lectures on Moral Philosophy were the most

CHAPTER IX

LIFE AT ST. ANDREWS.

In an old-world town like St. Andrews the stately, oldworld Moral Philosophy Professor must have seemed wonderfully in his place. There are men who, goodlooking in youth, become 'ordinary-looking' in later wors, but Ferrier's looks were not of such a kind. To the last-of course he was not an old man when he died -he preserved the same distinguished appearance that we are told marked him out from amongst his fellows while still a youth. The tall figure, clad in old-fieshioned, well-cut coat and white duck trousers, the close-shaven face, and merry twinkle about the eye signifying a sense of humour which removed him far from anything which we associate with the name of pedant; the dignity, when dignity was required, and yet the sympathy always ready to be extended to the student, however far he was from taking up the point, if he were only trying his best to comprehend-all this made up to those who knew him, the man, the scholar, and the high-land centleman, which, in no ordinary or conventional sense, Professor Ferrier was. It is the nersonality which, when years have passed and individual traits have been foreotten, it is so difficult to reproduce. The personal attraction, the atmosphere of culture and chivalry, which was always felt to hang about the Professor, has not been forgotten re

by those who can recall him in the old St. Anderson days; but who can reproduce this charm, or do mere than state its existence as a fact? Perhaps this zero only counts to those whose life in annihi pittellectural—who have not much, comparatively speaking, to saffer from the raught and tumble to which the 'penclical' man is subjected in the courses of his career. Sometimes it is said tut them who preced high arximis of philosophys and that them who have the precedibility in success of his career. Sometimes it is said tut them who precedibility maximis of philosophys and

considerable their distriction in their contental leves; he can the whole, when we review their causes, this would wonderfully soldom seem to be the case. Prom Sourch to construct the world helidopologies who have taught written and practiced it simultaneously, said in no case the content of the content o

If the asying is true, that the lappient man is he who is without a history, then Verier is never yelian to be currelled in the ranks of those who have attained their end. For happiens sore ran end to Perrier's he had no idea of practising virtue in the abstract, and finding a satisficative in the 11 he leiter Ab, however, the the happieness to be sometime in the part before him to be proposed in the part before him to work page the property and the page of the property and the page of the property and the property and the page of the page

life broke in upon its tranquil course. Unlike the custom of some of his colleagues, summer and winter

140 alike were assed by Ferrier in the quaint old sea-bound town. He lived there largely for his work and books. Not that he disliked society; he took the deepest interest even in his dinner-parties, and whether as a host or as a guest, was equally delightful as a companion or as a talker. But in his books he found his real life; he would take them down to table, and bed he seldom reached till midnight was passed by two hours at least. One who knew and cared for him, the attractive wife of one of his colleagues, who spent ten sessions at St. Andrews before distinguishing the Humanity Chair in Edinburgh, tells how the West Park house had something about its atmosphere that marked it out as unique-something which was due in great measure to the cultured father, but also

to the bright and witty mother and the three beautiful young daughters, who together formed a household by itself, and one which made the grey old town a different place to those who lived in it. Ferrier, as we have seen, had many distinguished colleagues in the University. Besides Professor Sellar, who held the Chair of Greek, there was the Principal of St. Mary's (Principal Tulloch), Professor Shairp, then Professor of Latin, and later on the Principal; the Logic Professor, Veitch, Sir David Brewster, Principal of the United Colleges, and others. But the society was unconventional in the extreme. The salaries were not large: including fees, the ordinance of the Scottish Universities Commission appointing the salaries of Professors in 1861, estimates the salary of the professorship of Moral Philosophy at St. Andrews at £444, 188., and the Principal only received about £100 more. But there were not those social customs and conventions to maintain that succeed in making life on a small income

JAMES FREDERICK FERRIER irksome in a larger city. All were practically on the same level in the University circle, and St. Andrews was not invaded by so large an army of golfing visitors then as now, though the game of course was played with exmal keepings and onthusiasm. Professor Ferrier took no part in this or other physical amusement: possibly it

lead been better for him had he left his books and study at times to do so. The friend spoken of above tells. however, of the merry parties who walked home after dining out, the laughing protests which she made among the Professor's rush statement (in allusion to his theory of According-success) that also was funnodeemed nonsense 5 without him; the way in which, when an idea struck him, he would walk to her house with his developer warmilless of the lateness of the hour, and throw nebbles at the fighted bedroom windows to min admittance-

and of course a hospitable support; how she, knowing that a tablemoid was wanted in the Ferrier establishment. descent on as such and interviewed the mistress who found her highly satisfactory but carlously assembling her friend Mrs. Sellar; and how when this was told her husband, he exclaimed, 'Why, of course it's she dressed un : let us pursue hur,' which was done with good effect ! All these takes, and many others like them, show what the homely, sociable, and yet cultured life was like-a life such as we in this country seldom have experience of: perhaps that of a German University town may most resemble it. In spite of being in many ways a recluse, Ferrier was ever a favourite with his students, just because he treated them, not with familiarity indeed,

but as mostlemen like himself. Other Professors were cheered when they appeared in public, but the loadest cheers were always given to Ferrier.

FAMOUS SCOTS 142 Mrs. Ferrier's brilliant personality many can remember who knew her during her widowhood in Edinburgh. She laid inherited many of her father, 'Christopher North's ' physical and mental gifts, shown in looks and wit. A friend of old days writes: "She was a queen in St. Andrews, at once admired for her wit, her eloquence, her personal charms, and dreaded for her five speech, her nowers of ridicule, and her withering miniery. Faithful, however, to her friends, she was beloved by them, and they will lament her now as one of the warmest-hearted and most highly-gifted of her sex.' Mrs. Ferrier never wrote for publication,-she is said to have seemed the idea. --but those who knew her never can fraget the flow of eloquence, the wit and satire mingled, the humorous touches and the keen sense of fun that characterised her talk; for she was one of an era of brilliant talkers that would seem to have passed away. Mrs. Ferrier's canacity for giving congressiate nicknames was well known: Towest, afterwards Master of Balliol, she christened the 'little downy owl.' Her husband's philosophy she graphically described by saving that 'it made you feel as if you were sitting up on a cloud with nothing on a lucifer match in your hand, but nothing to strike it on,2-- a description appealing

visidly to many who have tried to master it! In many ways she seemed a link with the nest of bright memories in Scotland, when these links were very nearly sevened. Five children in all were born to her: of her sons one, now dead, inherited many of his father's gifts. Her elder daughter, Eady Grant, the wife of Sir Alexander Grant, Principal of the Edinburch University and a distinguished classical scholar, likewise successled to much of her mother's grace and charm as well as of her father's accomplishments. Under the initials 'O. J. she was in the habit of contributing delightful humorous sketches to Hlashwood's Magazine—the magazine which her father and her grandfalter had so often contributed to in their day; but her life was not a long one; she died in 1895, clears years after her hutband, and while was uncedibilities around still before her.

Perhaps we might try to nicture to correlyes the life in which Ferrier played so prominent a part in the only real University town of which Scotland can boast. For it is in St. Andrews that the traditional distinctions between the College and the University are maintained. that there is the solemn stillness which befits an ancient seat of learning, that every step brings one in view of some monument of aces that are past and cope, and that we are reminded not only of the learning of our angestors. of their piety and doyntion to the College they built and andowed but of the socidar history of our country as well. In this, at least, the little University of the North has an advantage over her rich and powerful rivals, innsmuch as there is hardly any important event which has taken place in Scottish history but has left its mark men the place. No wonder the love of her students to the Alma Mater is proverbial. In Septiand we have little left to tell us of the medieval church and life, so completely has the Reformation done its work, and so theremuchly was the kind cleared of its 'popish images'; and hence we value what little there remains to us all the many. And the University of St. Andrews, the oldest of our state of learning, has come down to us from meetinged days. It was founded by a Catholic bishop in tarr, about a century after the dedication of the Cathedral, now, of course, a ruin. But it is to the rood Bishop Kunnedy who established the College of St. Salvator, one of the two United Colleges of later times, that we ascribe most honour in reference to the old foundation. Not only did he build the College on the site which was afterwards occupied by the classrooms in which Ferrier and his colleagues taught, but he likewise endowed them with vestments and rich jewels, including amongst their numbers a beautifully chased

silver mace which may still be seen. Of the old College buildings there is but the chapel and janitor's house now existing; within the chapel, which is modernised and used for Presbyterian service, is the ancient founder's tomb. The quadrangle, after the Reformation, fell into disrepair, and the present buildings are comparatively of recent date. The next College founded-that of St.

Leonard-which became early imbued with Reformation principles, was, in the eighteenth century, when its finances had become low, incorporated with St. Salvator's, and when conjoined they were in Ferrier's time, as now, known as the 'United College.' Besides the United College there was a third and last College, called St. Mary's. Though founded by the last of the Catholic hishors before the Reformation, it was subsequently presided over by the anti-prelatists Andrew Melville and Samuel Rutherford. St. Mary's has always been devoted

to the study of theology. But the history of her colleges is not all that has to be

told of the ancient city. Association it has with nearly all who have had to do with the making of our historythe good Oueen Margaret, Beaton, and, above all, Queen Mary and her great opponent Knox. The ruined Castle has many tales to tell could stones and trees have tongues-stories of bloodshed, of battle, of the long singe when Knox was forced to yield to France and be

carried to the galleys. After the murder of Archbishon Sharn, and the revolution of 1688, the town once so prosperous dwindled away, and decayed into an unimportant scaport. There is curiously little attractive about its situation in many regards. It is out of the way, difficult of access once upon a time, and even now not on a main line of rail, too near the great cities, and yet at the same time too far off. The coast is dangerous for fishermen, and there is no harbour that can be called such. No wonder, it seems, that the town became nearlected and insanitary, that Dr. Johnson speaks of 'the silence and solitude of inactive indigence and gloomy depopulation,' and left it with 'mournful imnees.' But if St. Andrews had its drawbacks, it had still more its compensations. It had its links-the lone stretch of sandhills spread far along the coast, and bringing crowds of visitors to the town every summer as it comes round; and for the pursuit of learning the remoteness of position has some advantages. Even at its worst the University showed signs of its recuperative powers. Early in the century Chalmers was assistant to the Professor of Mathematics, and then occupied the Chair of Moral Philosophy (that chair to which Ferrier was afterwards appointed), and drew crowds of students round him. Then came a time of innovation. If in 1821 St. Andrews was badly paved, ill-lighted, and rainous, an era of reform set in. New classrooms were built, the once neglected library was added to and rearranged, and the town was put to rights through an energetic provest, Major, afterwards Sir Hugh, Lyon Playfair. He made 'crooked places straight' in more senses than one, swent away the 'middens' that polluted the air, saw to the lighting and paving of the streets, and generally brought about

146 FAMOUS SCOTS the improvements which we expect to find in a modern torm. 'On being placed in the civic clear, he had found the streets unjuvoid, uneron, overgrown with words, and dirty; the mine of the time-bonouted Carbello and Caudo used as a quarty for greedy and association bulkers, and the University bulkings. Schiller, 1886.

sublets, and the University institutes falling into effect and the Armonde to training of this. With printings almost measurable, he had employed at the print of permassion and compulsion upon those who had the prose to reusely these abuses. It had distorted, he had the based of the printing of the pr

a stort care in his hand, walked about in trimuph, the memorous laight of the processes, is sold that one shy memorous laight of the processes, is sold that one shy he dropped in to see the Mont Philosophy Processes, who, horever destyles ranged with hi books, was always completed the great work of my file. In this looked I calm to make philosophy Intellighto to the memoral understanding. "Payfur art mare respected to have cared in his salvey payabate way, till the Africe Income foliages; will he went on, till Pupier stored to his feet, or the process of the process of the payabate way, till the side of the memoral to the salvey and the process of the payabate way.

3 Pleasant Memories, by Dorid Pople, LL-D.

JAMES FREDERICK FERRIER 147

aior?' 'Yes, I think I do.' 'Then, Major, Pm cil. the social life, Mrs. Oliphant says in her Life of that Tothick: 'The society, I believe, was more many than it has been since, and more entirely disto make of St. Andrews the pleasantest and est of abiding-places. Sir David Browster was still ed in St. Leonard's. Professor Ferrier, with his and brilliant wife-he full of quiet humour, she of

it wit a mimic of alarming and delightful power, something of the countenance and much of the of her father, the great "Christopher North" of zuvod's Magazins-made the brightest centre of mirth and meetings. West Park, their pleasant at the period which I record it, was over open. ounding with may voices and merry laughter, with silless freedom of talk and comment, and an ondream of good company. Professor Ferrier bimself to of the greatest metaphysicians of his time-the

certainly in Scotland; but this was perhaps less the surface than a number of humorous ways which he delight of his friends, many quaint abstractions to his philosophic chameter, and a happy friend-

and gentleness along with his wit, which gave his a continual charm.' Professor Knight, who now es Ferrier's place in the professoriate of St. ws, in his Life of Professor Shairs, quotes from a of reminiscences by Professor Sellar: 'The of all the intellectual and social life of the sity and of the town was Professor Ferrier. He d in the students a feeling of affectionate devotion as admiration, such as I have hardly ever known d by any teacher; and to many of them his more

presence and hearing in the classroom was a large element in a liberal education. By all his colleagues he was esteemed as a man of most sterling honour, a stannel. friend, and a most humorous and delightful companion. ... There certainly never was a household known to either of us in which the spirit of racy and original bemone and fun was so exuberant and spontaneous in every member of it, as that of which the Professor and his wife-the most gifted and brilliant, and most like herfather of the three gifted daughters of "Christophey-ended in the Professor's study, where he was always. ready to discuss, either from a actions or lumorous points of view (not without congenial accompanionent), thee various points of his system till the morning was we-11 advanced !

Perrier's daughter writes of the borse at West Parle . It was an old-fieddoned, rough cast or "harled" house standing on the road in Market Street, but approached through a small green gate and a short avenue of trees---trees that were enquired on the heart and memory from childhood. The garden at the back still remains. our time it was a real old-fashioned Scotch garden, west1 stocked with "herries," pears, and apples; quaint grusss solks on through it, and a sammer house with staine-clplass windows stood in a comer. West Park was built on a site once occupied by the Grey Frian, and I am next remancing when I say that hones and coins were known to have been discovered in the garden even in our times. Our bonte was socially a very amusing and happy orces. though my father lived a good deal apart from 118. coming down from his dear old library occasionally its the evenings to join the family circle.' This family circle was occasionally supplemented by a Fronch teacher or a German, and for one year by a certain Mrs. Huggins, an old reactures when originally came to give a Sathespear cooling, in Sc. Andrews, and who fell into fearned before the control of the con

prayers one Sunday evening that he noticed her resence. On inquiring who the stranger was, Mrs. Perries realied, 'Oh, that is Mrs. Hunging,' 'Then what is her avoration?' 'To read Shakespeare and draw your window-curtains,' said the ever-ready Mrs. Ferrier! The children of the house were brought up to love the stage and everyone pertaining to it, and whenever a strolling commany came to St. Andrews the Ferriers were the first to attend their play. The same daughter writes that when children their father used to thrill them with tales of Burke and Hare, the murderers and resurrectionists whose doings brought about a reign of terror in Edinburch early in the century. As a boy, Ferrier used to walk out to his grandfather's in Morningside-then a country suburb-in fear and trembling, expecting every moment to meet Burke, the object of his terror. On one gension he believed that he had done so, and skulked behind a bedge and lay down till the scourge of Relinburgh massed by. In 1828 he witnessed his banging in the Edinburgh prison. Professor Wilson, his

father-in-law, it may be recollected, spoke out his mind about the famous Dr. Knox in the Natur as well as in his classroom, and it was a well-known fact that his

RAMOUS SCOTS favourite Newfoundland dog Brontë was poisoned by the students as an act of retaliation.

Murder trials had always a fascination for Ferrier. On one occasion he read aloud to his children De Onincey's essay, 'Murder as a Fine Art,' which so terrified his youngest daughter that she could hardly bring benself to leave her father's library for hed. Somewhat severe to his sons to his daughters Ferrier was specially kind and inclulgent, helping them with their German studies, reading Schiller's plays to them, and when little children telling them old-world fairy tales.

A sussent of Grimm's Tales, brought by her father after a visit to London, was, she tells us, a never-to-beformation lov to the recipient.

The charm of the West Park house was spoken of by all the numerous young mon permitted to frequent its hospitable board. There was a wonderful concaction known by the name of 'Bishop,' against whose attraction one who suffered by its potency says that novices were warned, more especially in view of a certain sunk fence in the immediate vicinity which had afterwards to be avoided. The jokes that passed at these entertainments, which were never dull, are past and gone, - their biquancy would be gone even could they be reproduced .-- but the impression left on the minds of those who shared in them is ineffaceable, and is as vivid now as forty years ago.

There was a custom, now almost extinct, of keeping books of so-called 'Confessions,' in which the contributon; had the rather formidable task of filling up their likes or dislikes for the entertainment of their owners. In Mrs. Sellar's allown Perrier made several interesting 'confessions'-whether we take them an enand serious

Assures.

Driving with a hundrown

Fishing, walking, and danging,

Humorous and tender.

Statistical and personal.

To reach the Truth.

or only as playful jests with a grain of touth behind. Here are some of the questions and their answers.

Hegel.

WORKE,

Opportuge. Your ferourite elementer in

history. The character you meet distiles, Calvin. Vous favourite kind of literature. The Arabian Wirkley

Your favourite author. Your favorate occupation and emotocroent.

These yes diddle most. Year feverality topics of conversation,

Those you dislike most. Year ambition. Vonr ideal.

Voor hobbs

Always to may ready money, Proportonking. The virtue you most admire. The world, the flesh, and the The vices to which you are most lenient. devit.

These last two answers are very characteristic of Perrier's point of view in later days. He was above all reasonable-no ascetic who could not understand the temptations of the world, but one who enjoyed its pleasures, saw the humorous side of life, appreciated the restlectic, and yet kept the dictates of reason over before

his mind. And his ambition to reach the Truth 'Differed from a best Of nins affike in character and kind, Mostly in this-dust in itself alone

Shall its reward be, not an alien end Bleuling therewith. Thus, like Paracelsus, he aspired,

CHAPTER

LANT DAYS

In used to be said that none can be counted brong until they die, and certainly the manner of a num's cleathoften throws light poon his previous life, and enables us to judge it as we should not otherwise have been able to do. Ferrier's death was what his life had been; it was with calm conrage that he looked it in the face-the same calm courage with which he faced the norhans even greater problems of life that presented themselves. Death bad no terrors to him; be had lived in the consciousness that it was an essential factor in life, and a factor which was not ever to be overlooked. And he had every opportunity, physically speaking, for expecting its approach. In November 1861 he had a violent seizure of aurius Actoris, after Which, although he temporarily recovered, he never completely received his strength. For some weeks he was mable to ment his students, and then, when partially recovered he arranged to hold the class in the diningroom of his house, which was fitted un succially for the purpose Twice in the year 1861 was be attacked in a similar way; in June of that your he went up to London to conduct the examination in philosophy of the students of the London University; but in October,

when he ought to have gone there once more, he was unable to carry out his intention. On the and of October, Dr. Christison was consulted about his state, and pronounced his ease to be past hope of

remedy. He opened his class on the 11th of November in his own house, but during this month was generally confined to hed. On the 8th of December he was attacked by congestion of the brain, and never lectured aussin. His class was conducted by Mr. Rhondes,1 then Warden of the recently-founded College Hall, who, as many others among his colleagues would have been ready to do, willingly undertook the melancholy task

of officiating for so beloved and honoured a friend, After this, all severe study and mental exertion was forbidden. He became gradually weaker, with glimpses now and then of transitory improvement. So in unfailing courses and resignation, not unwilling to hope for longer respite, but always prepared to die. he placidly, reverently, awaited the close, tended by the watchful care of his devoted wife and children.9 On the 11th day of June 1864, Ferrier passed away. He is buried in Edinburgh, in the old churchword of St. Cuthbort's, in the heart of the city, near his father and his grandfather, and many others whose names are famous in the annals of his country. During these three years, in which death had been a question of but a short time, Ferrier had not ceased to

he busy and interested in his work. The dates of his lectures on Greek Philosophy show that he had not 3 Afterwards Ferrier's son-in-law. 2 Lectures and Philosophical Remains, Introductory Notes, D. KKÜ.

FAMOUS SCOTS 154 failed to carry on the work of bringing them into shape, and though the wish could not be accomplished in its his work in hand.

entirety, it speaks much for his resolution and determination that through all his bodily weakness he kept Of course much lead to be forgone. Ferrier was never what is called robust, and his manner of life was not conducive to physical health, combining as it did late hours with lack of physical exercise. But in these later years he was unable to walk more than the shortest distance, the ascent of a staircase was an effort to him, and tendencies to asthma developed which must have made his life often enough a physical pain. Still, though it was evident that there could be but one

ending to the strussele. Ferrier gave expression to no complaints, and though he might, as Principal Tulloch says, utter a half-playful, half-grim expression regarding his sufferings, he never seemed to think there was anything strange in them, anything that he should not beer calmly as a man and as a Christian. Nor did he talk of change of scene or climate as likely to give relief. He 'quietly, steadily, and cheerfully' faced the issue, be it what it might. The very day before he died, he was, we are told, in his library,

2 busy amongst his books. Truly, it may be said of him as of another cut off while yet in his prime, 'he died learning.3 'Towards his friends during this time,' says his

biographer, 'all that was sweetest in his disposition seemed to main strength and expansion from the near . shadow of death. He snoke of death with entire fearless. ness, and though this was nothing new to those who knew him best, it impressed their minds at this time more vividly than ever. The less they dared to hope for his life being

by his tender thoughtfulness for others, and the kindliness which annihilated all absorbing concern for himself.

sub specie aternitatis, the old animosities, such as they were, faded away. It is told how a former opponent on philosophical questions whose criticisms he had resented, called to inquire for him, and when the card was given to him, Ferrier exclaimed, 'That must be a good fellow!'

about his work-the work on Plate and his philosophy, that he would have liked to accomplish in order to complete his lectures. The summer before his death they read together some of Plato's dialogues which he had carefully pencilled with his notes. He also took to reading Virgil, in which occupation his friend frequently joined with him, and this seemed to relieve the languor from which he suffered. As to religion, which was a subject on which he thought much, although he did not frequently express an eninion. Tulloch says: "He was unable to feel much interest in any of its popular forms, but he had a most intense interest in its great mysteries, and a thorough reverence for its truths when these were not disfigured by superstition and formalism,

Principal Tulloch, his friend and for ten years his colleague, was with him constantly, and talked often to him

In many little characteristic touches of humour, frankness, beneficence, beautiful gratitude for any slight help or attention, his truest and best nature seemed to come out all the more freely; he grew as it were more and more entirely himself indeed. If ever a man was true to philosophy, or a man's philosophy true to him, it was so with Ferrier during all the time when he looked death in the face and possessed his soul in patience.' And, as so often happens when the things of this world are regarded

prolonged, the more their love and regard were deepened

IAMES FREDERICK FERRIER

156 Immortality, as we have seen, meant to him that there is a permanent and abiding element beyond the merely particular and individual which must pass away, and so far it was a reality in his mind. God was a real presence

in the world, and not a far away divinity in whom men believed but whom they could not know; but as to the creeds and doctrines of the Church, they seemed far removed from the Essential from true Reality. Professor (afterwards Principal) Shairp writes: 'In the visits which I made to his bedroom from time to time, when I found him sometimes on chair or soft, sometimes in bed, I never heard one neevish or complaining word escape him, nothing but what was calm and obserful, though to himself as to others it was evident that the outward man was fast perishing. The last time but one that I saw

him was on a Sunday in April. He was sitting up in bed. The conversation fell on serious subjects, on the eraving the soul feels for some strength and support out from and above itself, on the certainty that all men feel that need, and on the testimony left by those who have tried it most, that they had found that need met by Him of whose earthly life the gospel histories bear witness. This, or something like this, was the subject on which our conversation turned. He paused and dwelt on the thought of the soul's hunger. "Hunger is the great wenver in moral things as in physical. The hunger that is in the new-born child sits weaving the whole bodily frame, bones and sinews, out of nothing. And so I suppose in moral and spiritual things it is hunger that builds up the being."?

Professor Veitch, a later colleague at St. Andrews. adds: "We miss the finely-cut decisive face, the creat manly presence, the measured meditative step, the

157

bining 'a fine ethered intelligence with a most gallant, tender, and coungeous soirit. Such is the man as he presented himself to his friends even when the shadows were darkening and the last long journey coming very near; a true man and a good; one in whose footsteps we fain would trend, one who makes it easier for those who follow him to trend them too. His work was done; it might seem unfinishedwhat work is ever complete? But he had taken his share in it, the little bit that any individual man can do, and had done it with all his strongth. And what did it amount to? Was it worth the labour of so many years of toil? Who is there who can reply? And yet we can see something of what has been accomplished; we can see that philosophy has been made a more living thing for Scotland, that a blow has been struck against materialistic creeds, or beliefs which are merely formal and without any true convincing power. It may not have been much: the work was but begun, and it was left to others to carry that work on. But in philosophy, as in the rest, it is the first step that costs, and amid great difficulty and considerable opposition Ferrier took that

sten. He left much unexplained; he dwelt too much in

158 FAMOUS SCOTS

his high-flown theories worked in a world of strife and struggle, of sin and sorrow. He could only be said to have struck a keynote, but that keynote as far as it went was true, and the harmonies may be left to follow.

"FAMOUS SCOTS" SERVES

Some Opinions of the Press on ADAM SMITH

By HECTOR C. MACPHERSON.

"The style is pleasant, and the treatment huniness. The monograph, as a whole, should be found attractive and informing,"—Glob.
"Smith's life is briefly and clearly told, and there is a good deal of

independent criticism interspersed analysis the chapters on the philmagular's two pulsational treatises. Mr. Marghenson's analysis of Smith's occumulat turching makes excellent recoding,"—Edo, " "Tills personal and intellectual casees, so far as the limits of the "Fannes Social Social mention is related to the chapter of the property of the contract of the contr

by Mr. Macphenon,"—Afterning Londer,
"The book is of great price. It is complete, proportional, virial,
the nicture of a great mea, and with all its brevity, worthy of his

greatness."—H. Apontory Traces.

"Interesting both as a contribution to the Riemane of political economy, and as a shorth of the correy of one of Scotland's most illustrations.

trious same."—Publishers' Circular.

"The memograph is a clear and able exposition and criticism of its ambient. It deserves a prominent place in the series it belongs to."—

Reservoir.

"An interesting and lively study of the English femaler of political common, this little book is romarkable as a whole-hearted windication of the Unbhanic ideas of international policy. The author considers it

to be Asham Smith's chief nebbevement that he has demonstrated with scientific completeness that Fros Trade, as Cobien hopeily expressed it, is the International law of God Almighty."—Spectator.
"This Bittle lands is written with lunkes and a derree of convex

which is in Incepting with its emviritions. It has vision, too, and that counts for rightnessess, if saywhere, in pullified conneuty."—Speaker. "A sound and able piece of work, and contains a filt and discerning estimate of Smith in his contribut character as the nutber of the identition of Prox Trade, and consequently of the modern science of

consumited."—Glagger Merald.

"The writer of this thoughest observes to be warmly congenitated on the result of this thoughest of the true of the state of the section of Parallel on the result of his histor. He hast of the section of Parallel on Staris, and his scalariost the most of the "Worlth of Nations" in a manusc at once attractive, interesting, and instructive."—Meraldure Parallel on the Company of th

ADAM SMITH -- continued.

"Of Adam. Smith the same there are some interesting stories in

this volume." - deadents. service book is one warrale to be consucuded as possing the very heat of a motable series,"-Kilmarusck Standard,

"The story of Smith's life is plainly but interestingly told, with

occasional graphic electripators of the society of his time; but it will undoubtedly be as on especialest of the philosophical questions involved that the book will be most highly paints." .- Paile Proc Press.

"It is a biography with a specific purpose, and this purpose is admirably worked out. In page respects, indeed, Mr. Maccherou's object is educational. Not content with doing justice to the great wester of economic science, he shows what we owe to other workers in

the same school of thought."-- Leads Alerency. "These who have read Mr. Morpherson's 'Thomas Carlyle,' with which this highly interesting series was opened, will turn with obsessme

and expectancy to the volume just issued. Mr. Meepherson has given us a volume much alsowe the average of the series both in literary merit and thems lat foliness. We strongly recommend this excellent pen and loke portmit, of the man who gave Britain the key to the wealth of the world, of our fellow-students." .- Student.

"One of the best of an admirable series."-Sorte Pictorial.

" An admirable monograph," .- London Daily Mail. "A thoughtful and combin written monograph."-Liverteel Daily

"Mr. Murpherson states the facts most admirably, and he has such a knowledge of the movements and events of the times in which Smith firm) that he is able to make an excellent was of them as showing how

they influenced such a thinker as the author of the "Wealth of Notions," and how, in ters, he was able to charge the trend of the thinking of his age."- Perthiking Courk'r.

Ma. HERBERT SPENCER says: "I have branch much from pour sketch of Adam South's life and work. It presents the eccentral facts in a harid and interesting way. Especially san I glad to see that you have insisted upon the individualistic character of his teaching. It is well that his authority on the side of individuation should be out forward in these days of campont Socialism, when the great muce of Irrivitative measures extend public agency and notific private agency (the physicates of such moreover being blind to the fact that by small steps they are bringing about a state in which the eftiren will have look all freedom."